

The Casimir number and the determinant of a fusion category

Zhihua Wang

(a joint work with Libin Li and Gongxiang Liu)

Taizhou College

August 23, 2021

Notations

- \mathbb{k} is an algebraically closed field.

Notations

- \mathbb{k} is an algebraically closed field.
- \mathcal{C} is a fusion category over \mathbb{k} .

Notations

- \mathbb{k} is an algebraically closed field.
- \mathcal{C} is a fusion category over \mathbb{k} .
- For any simple object X of \mathcal{C} , define

$$\mathrm{Tr}_X(g) := \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{coev}_X} X \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{g \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X^*}} X^{**} \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{X^*}} \mathbf{1};$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{X^*}((g^{-1})^*) := \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{coev}_{X^*}} X^* \otimes X^{**} \xrightarrow{(g^{-1})^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X^{**}}} X^{***} \otimes X^{**} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{X^{**}}} \mathbf{1};$$

$$|X|^2 := \mathrm{Tr}_X(g) \mathrm{Tr}_{X^*}((g^{-1})^*).$$

The **global dimension** of \mathcal{C} is

$$\dim(\mathcal{C}) := \sum_{X \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} |X|^2.$$

Example: $\dim(\mathrm{Rep}(H)) = \mathrm{Tr}_H(S^2)$ for a semisimple Hopf algebra H .

Notations

- \mathbb{k} is an algebraically closed field.
- \mathcal{C} is a fusion category over \mathbb{k} .
- For any simple object X of \mathcal{C} , define

$$\mathrm{Tr}_X(g) := \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{coev}_X} X \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{g \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X^*}} X^{**} \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{X^*}} \mathbf{1};$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{X^*}((g^{-1})^*) := \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{coev}_{X^*}} X^* \otimes X^{**} \xrightarrow{(g^{-1})^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X^{**}}} X^{***} \otimes X^{**} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{X^{**}}} \mathbf{1};$$
$$|X|^2 := \mathrm{Tr}_X(g) \mathrm{Tr}_{X^*}((g^{-1})^*).$$

The **global dimension** of \mathcal{C} is

$$\dim(\mathcal{C}) := \sum_{X \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} |X|^2.$$

Example: $\dim(\mathrm{Rep}(H)) = \mathrm{Tr}_H(S^2)$ for a semisimple Hopf algebra H .

- A fusion category \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{k} is **non-degenerate** if $\dim(\mathcal{C}) \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k} .

- If $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = 0$, then $\dim(\mathcal{C}) \neq 0$ (i.e., \mathcal{C} is always non-degenerate).

Notations

- If $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = 0$, then $\dim(\mathcal{C}) \neq 0$ (i.e., \mathcal{C} is always non-degenerate).
- If $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = p$, it is possible $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ (e.g., the module category of function algebra $\text{Fun}(G, \mathbb{k})$, where $p \mid |G|$).

Notations

- If $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = 0$, then $\dim(\mathcal{C}) \neq 0$ (i.e., \mathcal{C} is always non-degenerate).
- If $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = p$, it is possible $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ (e.g., the module category of function algebra $\text{Fun}(G, \mathbb{k})$, where $p \mid |G|$).
- A non-degenerate fusion category over \mathbb{k} of $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = p$ shares properties with the one for a fusion category over \mathbb{k} of $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = 0$ (Etingof, et al, [Ann Math, 2005](#)).

Notations

- If $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = 0$, then $\dim(\mathcal{C}) \neq 0$ (i.e., \mathcal{C} is always non-degenerate).
- If $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = p$, it is possible $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ (e.g., the module category of function algebra $\text{Fun}(G, \mathbb{k})$, where $p \mid |G|$).
- A non-degenerate fusion category over \mathbb{k} of $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = p$ shares properties with the one for a fusion category over \mathbb{k} of $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = 0$ (Etingof, et al, [Ann Math, 2005](#)).
- **Question:** How to characterize a non-degenerate fusion category?

Notations

- If $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = 0$, then $\dim(\mathcal{C}) \neq 0$ (i.e., \mathcal{C} is always non-degenerate).
- If $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = p$, it is possible $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ (e.g., the module category of function algebra $\text{Fun}(G, \mathbb{k})$, where $p \mid |G|$).
- A non-degenerate fusion category over \mathbb{k} of $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = p$ shares properties with the one for a fusion category over \mathbb{k} of $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = 0$ (Etingof, et al, [Ann Math, 2005](#)).
- **Question:** How to characterize a non-degenerate fusion category?
- A pivotal fusion category \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate $\Leftrightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ is semisimple ([Shimizu, JPAA, 2017](#)).

Notations

- If $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = 0$, then $\dim(\mathcal{C}) \neq 0$ (i.e., \mathcal{C} is always non-degenerate).
- If $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = p$, it is possible $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ (e.g., the module category of function algebra $\text{Fun}(G, \mathbb{k})$, where $p \mid |G|$).
- A non-degenerate fusion category over \mathbb{k} of $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = p$ shares properties with the one for a fusion category over \mathbb{k} of $\text{ch}\mathbb{k} = 0$ (Etingof, et al, [Ann Math, 2005](#)).
- **Question:** How to characterize a non-degenerate fusion category?
- A pivotal fusion category \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate $\Leftrightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ is semisimple ([Shimizu, JPAA, 2017](#)).
- **Question:** When is $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ semisimple?

The Casimir numbers and determinants

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} with the Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.

The Casimir numbers and determinants

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} with the Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.

- The **Casimir operator**: $c : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, $c(a) = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \chi_a X X^*$.

The Casimir numbers and determinants

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} with the Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.

- The **Casimir operator**: $c : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, $c(a) = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \chi_a X X^*$.
- The **Casimir number**: a non-negative integer $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfying $\text{Im} c \cap \mathbb{Z} = (m_{\mathcal{C}})$, namely, $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a minimal non-negative integer such that $\sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \chi_a X X^* = m_{\mathcal{C}}$ for some $a \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.

The Casimir numbers and determinants

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} with the Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.

- The **Casimir operator**: $c : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, $c(a) = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \chi_a X X^*$.
- The **Casimir number**: a non-negative integer $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfying $\text{Im} c \cap \mathbb{Z} = (m_{\mathcal{C}})$, namely, $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a minimal non-negative integer such that $\sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \chi_a X X^* = m_{\mathcal{C}}$ for some $a \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.
- $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a category invariant of \mathcal{C} .

The Casimir numbers and determinants

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} with the Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.

- The **Casimir operator**: $c : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, $c(a) = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} XaX^*$.
- The **Casimir number**: a non-negative integer $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfying $\text{Im}c \cap \mathbb{Z} = (m_{\mathcal{C}})$, namely, $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a minimal non-negative integer such that $\sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} XaX^* = m_{\mathcal{C}}$ for some $a \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.
- $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a category invariant of \mathcal{C} .
- $c(1) = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} XX^*$,

$$c(1) \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ X \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = [c(1)] \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ X \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Casimir numbers and determinants

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} with the Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.

- The **Casimir operator**: $c : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, $c(a) = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} XaX^*$.
- The **Casimir number**: a non-negative integer $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfying $\text{Im}c \cap \mathbb{Z} = (m_{\mathcal{C}})$, namely, $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a minimal non-negative integer such that $\sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} XaX^* = m_{\mathcal{C}}$ for some $a \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$.
- $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a category invariant of \mathcal{C} .
- $c(1) = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} XX^*$,

$$c(1) \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ X \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = [c(1)] \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ X \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $[c(1)]$ is a positive definite integer matrix, $d_{\mathcal{C}} := \det[c(1)] > 0$ called the **determinant** of \mathcal{C} .

Example 1

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed fusion category over a field \mathbb{k} . The Grothendieck ring of \mathcal{C} is the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ for a finite group G .

Example 1

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed fusion category over a field \mathbb{k} . The Grothendieck ring of \mathcal{C} is the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ for a finite group G .

- The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} = |G|$.

Example 1

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed fusion category over a field \mathbb{k} . The Grothendieck ring of \mathcal{C} is the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ for a finite group G .

- The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} = |G|$.
- The determinant $d_{\mathcal{C}} = |G|^{|G|}$.

Example 2

Let \mathcal{C} be a near-group category over \mathbb{k} . The Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ has a basis $G \cup \{X\}$ obey the following multiplication rule:

$$g \cdot h = gh, \quad g \cdot X = X \cdot g = X, \quad X^2 = \sum_{g \in G} g + \rho X,$$

for all $g, h \in G$ and an integer $\rho \geq 0$.

Example 2

Let \mathcal{C} be a near-group category over \mathbb{k} . The Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ has a basis $G \cup \{X\}$ obey the following multiplication rule:

$$g \cdot h = gh, \quad g \cdot X = X \cdot g = X, \quad X^2 = \sum_{g \in G} g + \rho X,$$

for all $g, h \in G$ and an integer $\rho \geq 0$.

- The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{cases} (4|G| + \rho^2)|G|, & 2 \nmid \rho; \\ \frac{1}{2}(4|G| + \rho^2)|G|, & 2 \mid \rho. \end{cases}$

Example 2

Let \mathcal{C} be a near-group category over \mathbb{k} . The Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ has a basis $G \cup \{X\}$ obey the following multiplication rule:

$$g \cdot h = gh, \quad g \cdot X = X \cdot g = X, \quad X^2 = \sum_{g \in G} g + \rho X,$$

for all $g, h \in G$ and an integer $\rho \geq 0$.

- The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{cases} (4|G| + \rho^2)|G|, & 2 \nmid \rho; \\ \frac{1}{2}(4|G| + \rho^2)|G|, & 2 \mid \rho. \end{cases}$
- $[c(1)] = \begin{bmatrix} M & \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{u}^t & \rho^2 + 2|G| \end{bmatrix}$, where M is a square matrix of size $|G|$ whose diagonal elements are all $|G| + 1$ and off-diagonal elements are all 1, \mathbf{u} is a column vector of size $|G|$ whose elements are all ρ .

Example 2

Let \mathcal{C} be a near-group category over \mathbb{k} . The Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ has a basis $G \cup \{X\}$ obey the following multiplication rule:

$$g \cdot h = gh, \quad g \cdot X = X \cdot g = X, \quad X^2 = \sum_{g \in G} g + \rho X,$$

for all $g, h \in G$ and an integer $\rho \geq 0$.

- The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{cases} (4|G| + \rho^2)|G|, & 2 \nmid \rho; \\ \frac{1}{2}(4|G| + \rho^2)|G|, & 2 \mid \rho. \end{cases}$
- $[c(1)] = \begin{bmatrix} M & \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{u}^t & \rho^2 + 2|G| \end{bmatrix}$, where M is a square matrix of size $|G|$ whose diagonal elements are all $|G| + 1$ and off-diagonal elements are all 1, \mathbf{u} is a column vector of size $|G|$ whose elements are all ρ .
- The determinant $d_{\mathcal{C}} = \det[c(1)] = (4|G| + \rho^2)|G|^{|G|}$.

Example 3

Let \mathcal{C} be a modular category over a field \mathbb{k} with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$. That is, \mathcal{C} is a spherical fusion category with a braiding c such that the S -matrix $S = [s_{ij}]$ is invertible in \mathbb{k} , where $s_{ij} = \text{Tr}(c_{X_j X_i} \circ c_{X_i X_j})$.

Example 3

Let \mathcal{C} be a modular category over a field \mathbb{k} with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$. That is, \mathcal{C} is a spherical fusion category with a braiding c such that the S -matrix $S = [s_{ij}]$ is invertible in \mathbb{k} , where $s_{ij} = \text{Tr}(c_{X_j X_i} \circ c_{X_i X_j})$. For any $i \in I$, the map

$$h_i : X_j \mapsto \frac{s_{ij}}{\dim(X_i)} \quad \text{for } j \in I$$

defines a homomorphism from $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ to \mathbb{k} . Note that all eigenvalues of the matrix $[c(1)]$ are $h_i(c(1))$ for $i \in I$. Moreover,

$$h_i(c(1)) = \sum_{j \in I} h_i(X_j) h_i(X_{j^*}) = \sum_{j \in I} \frac{s_{ij} s_{ij^*}}{\dim(X_i)^2} = \frac{\dim(\mathcal{C})}{\dim(X_i)^2}.$$

Example 3

Let \mathcal{C} be a modular category over a field \mathbb{k} with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$. That is, \mathcal{C} is a spherical fusion category with a braiding c such that the S -matrix $S = [s_{ij}]$ is invertible in \mathbb{k} , where $s_{ij} = \text{Tr}(c_{X_j X_i} \circ c_{X_i X_j})$. For any $i \in I$, the map

$$h_i : X_j \mapsto \frac{s_{ij}}{\dim(X_i)} \quad \text{for } j \in I$$

defines a homomorphism from $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ to \mathbb{k} . Note that all eigenvalues of the matrix $[c(1)]$ are $h_i(c(1))$ for $i \in I$. Moreover,

$$h_i(c(1)) = \sum_{j \in I} h_i(X_j) h_i(X_{j^*}) = \sum_{j \in I} \frac{s_{ij} s_{ij^*}}{\dim(X_i)^2} = \frac{\dim(\mathcal{C})}{\dim(X_i)^2}.$$

It follows that

$$d_{\mathcal{C}} = \prod_{i \in I} h_i(c(1)) = \frac{(\dim \mathcal{C})^{|I|}}{\prod_{i \in I} \dim(X_i)^2}.$$

Proposition

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any field K , the following statements are equivalent:

Proposition

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any field K , the following statements are equivalent:

- 1 The determinant $d_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .

Proposition

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any field K , the following statements are equivalent:

- 1 The determinant $d_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .
- 2 The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .

Proposition

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any field K , the following statements are equivalent:

- 1 The determinant $d_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .
- 2 The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .
- 3 The Grothendieck algebra $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is semisimple.

Casimir numbers vs. determinants

Proposition

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any field K , the following statements are equivalent:

- 1 The determinant $d_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .
- 2 The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .
- 3 The Grothendieck algebra $Gr(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is semisimple.

Therefore,

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any prime p , $p \mid m_{\mathcal{C}} \Leftrightarrow p \mid d_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Casimir numbers vs. determinants

Proposition

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any field K , the following statements are equivalent:

- 1 The determinant $d_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .
- 2 The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .
- 3 The Grothendieck algebra $Gr(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is semisimple.

Therefore,

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any prime p , $p \mid m_{\mathcal{C}} \Leftrightarrow p \mid d_{\mathcal{C}}$.

For a pivotal fusion category \mathcal{C} ,

Proposition

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any field K , the following statements are equivalent:

- 1 The determinant $d_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .
- 2 The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .
- 3 The Grothendieck algebra $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is semisimple.

Therefore,

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any prime p , $p \mid m_{\mathcal{C}} \Leftrightarrow p \mid d_{\mathcal{C}}$.

For a pivotal fusion category \mathcal{C} ,

- \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate $\Leftrightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ is semisimple

Casimir numbers vs. determinants

Proposition

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any field K , the following statements are equivalent:

- 1 The determinant $d_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .
- 2 The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in K .
- 3 The Grothendieck algebra $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is semisimple.

Therefore,

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} . For any prime p , $p \mid m_{\mathcal{C}} \Leftrightarrow p \mid d_{\mathcal{C}}$.

For a pivotal fusion category \mathcal{C} ,

- \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate $\Leftrightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ is semisimple
 $\Leftrightarrow m_{\mathcal{C}}$ or $d_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k} .

Example 4

Let \mathcal{C} be a Verlinde modular category with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$. The Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is the truncated Verlinde ring whose multiplication rule is $X_i X_j = \sum_{l=\max\{i+j-n, 0\}}^{\min\{i, j\}} X_{i+j-2l}$.

Example 4

Let \mathcal{C} be a Verlinde modular category with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$. The Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is the truncated Verlinde ring whose multiplication rule is $X_i X_j = \sum_{l=\max\{i+j-n, 0\}}^{\min\{i, j\}} X_{i+j-2l}$.

- $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(E_{n+1}(X))$, where

$$E_{n+1}(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n+1-j}{j} (-1)^j X^{n+1-2j}$$

is the $n+1$ -th Dickson polynomial.

Example 4

Let \mathcal{C} be a Verlinde modular category with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$. The Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is the truncated Verlinde ring whose multiplication rule is $X_i X_j = \sum_{l=\max\{i+j-n, 0\}}^{\min\{i, j\}} X_{i+j-2l}$.

- $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(E_{n+1}(X))$, where

$$E_{n+1}(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n+1-j}{j} (-1)^j X^{n+1-2j}$$

is the $n+1$ -th Dickson polynomial.

- The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} = 2n + 4$.

Example 4

Let \mathcal{C} be a Verlinde modular category with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$. The Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is the truncated Verlinde ring whose multiplication rule is $X_i X_j = \sum_{l=\max\{i+j-n, 0\}}^{\min\{i, j\}} X_{i+j-2l}$.

- $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(E_{n+1}(X))$, where

$$E_{n+1}(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n+1-j}{j} (-1)^j X^{n+1-2j}$$

is the $n+1$ -th Dickson polynomial.

- The Casimir number $m_{\mathcal{C}} = 2n + 4$.
- \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate $\Leftrightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ is semisimple $\Leftrightarrow 2n + 4 \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k}
 $\Leftrightarrow E_{n+1}(X)$ has no multiple factors in $\mathbb{k}[X]$.

Properties of Casimir numbers and determinants

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} with $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) = \{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Denote $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ the **pivotalization** of \mathcal{C} : $\text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \{X_i^\pm\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\mathbf{1}^+ = \mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{1}^- \otimes \mathbf{1}^- = \mathbf{1}$, $\dim(\mathbf{1}^-) = -1$, and $X_i^\pm \otimes \mathbf{1}^- = \mathbf{1}^- \otimes X_i^\pm = X_i^\mp$.

Properties of Casimir numbers and determinants

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} with $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) = \{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Denote $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ the **pivotalization** of \mathcal{C} : $\text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \{X_i^\pm\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\mathbf{1}^+ = \mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{1}^- \otimes \mathbf{1}^- = \mathbf{1}$, $\dim(\mathbf{1}^-) = -1$, and $X_i^\pm \otimes \mathbf{1}^- = \mathbf{1}^- \otimes X_i^\pm = X_i^\mp$.

Proposition

$m_{\mathcal{C}} \mid m_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$ and $d_{\mathcal{C}} \mid d_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$.

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i X_i^* \rightsquigarrow C \Rightarrow \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i^\pm (X_i^\pm)^* \rightsquigarrow 2 \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A + B = C$. It follows that

- $d_{\mathcal{C}} = \det(A + B)$

Properties of Casimir numbers and determinants

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} with $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) = \{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Denote $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ the **pivotalization** of \mathcal{C} : $\text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \{X_i^\pm\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\mathbf{1}^+ = \mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{1}^- \otimes \mathbf{1}^- = \mathbf{1}$, $\dim(\mathbf{1}^-) = -1$, and $X_i^\pm \otimes \mathbf{1}^- = \mathbf{1}^- \otimes X_i^\pm = X_i^\mp$.

Proposition

$m_{\mathcal{C}} \mid m_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$ and $d_{\mathcal{C}} \mid d_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$.

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i X_i^* \rightsquigarrow C \Rightarrow \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i^\pm (X_i^\pm)^* \rightsquigarrow 2 \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A + B = C$. It follows that

- $d_{\mathcal{C}} = \det(A + B)$
- $d_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} = 2^{2n} \det(A + B) \det(A - B)$.

Properties of Casimir numbers and determinants

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} with $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) = \{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Denote $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ the **pivotalization** of \mathcal{C} : $\text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \{X_i^\pm\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\mathbf{1}^+ = \mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{1}^- \otimes \mathbf{1}^- = \mathbf{1}$, $\dim(\mathbf{1}^-) = -1$, and $X_i^\pm \otimes \mathbf{1}^- = \mathbf{1}^- \otimes X_i^\pm = X_i^\mp$.

Proposition

$m_{\mathcal{C}} \mid m_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$ and $d_{\mathcal{C}} \mid d_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$.

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i X_i^* \rightsquigarrow C \Rightarrow \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i^\pm (X_i^\pm)^* \rightsquigarrow 2 \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A + B = C$. It follows that

- $d_{\mathcal{C}} = \det(A + B)$
- $d_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} = 2^{2n} \det(A + B) \det(A - B)$.
- **Question:** $p \mid d_{\mathcal{C}} \Leftrightarrow p \mid d_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$ (for any prime $p \neq 2$)?

Proposition

For a semisimple pivotal Hopf algebra H , $\text{Rep}(H)$ is non-degenerate $\Leftrightarrow d_{\text{Rep}(H)} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{k} \Leftrightarrow S^2 = \text{id}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}}(H) \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k} .

The case of semisimple Hopf algebras

Proposition

For a semisimple pivotal Hopf algebra H , $\text{Rep}(H)$ is non-degenerate $\Leftrightarrow d_{\text{Rep}(H)} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{k} \Leftrightarrow S^2 = \text{id}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}}(H) \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k} .

For a semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra H over \mathbb{k} , $d_{\text{Rep}(H)} \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k} .

The case of semisimple Hopf algebras

Proposition

For a semisimple pivotal Hopf algebra H , $\text{Rep}(H)$ is non-degenerate $\Leftrightarrow d_{\text{Rep}(H)} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{k} \Leftrightarrow S^2 = \text{id}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}}(H) \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k} .

For a semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra H over \mathbb{k} , $d_{\text{Rep}(H)} \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k} .

Proposition

Let H be a semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra over \mathbb{k} . If $\text{Gr}(H)$ is commutative, then $d_{\text{Rep}(H)}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H$ have the same prime factors.

The case of semisimple Hopf algebras

Theorem

Let H be a semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra over \mathbb{k} and $D(H)$ the Drinfeld double of H . Then $d_{\text{Rep}(D(H))}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H$ have the same prime factors.

The case of semisimple Hopf algebras

Theorem

Let H be a semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra over \mathbb{k} and $D(H)$ the Drinfeld double of H . Then $d_{\text{Rep}(D(H))}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H$ have the same prime factors.

Question: For a semisimple Hopf algebra H , $p \mid \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H \Leftrightarrow p \mid d_{\text{Rep}(H)}$?

Determinants vs. Frobenius-Schur exponents

Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical fusion category over \mathbb{C} with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Determinants vs. Frobenius-Schur exponents

Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical fusion category over \mathbb{C} with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$.

- The **Frobenius-Schur exponent** N of \mathcal{C} is the least positive integer N such that $\nu_N(X) = \dim(X)$ for all objects X of \mathcal{C} , where $\nu_N(X)$ is the N -th Frobenius-Schur indicator of X (Siu-Hung Ng, et al, *Adv Math*, 2007).

Determinants vs. Frobenius-Schur exponents

Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical fusion category over \mathbb{C} with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$.

- The **Frobenius-Schur exponent** N of \mathcal{C} is the least positive integer N such that $\nu_N(X) = \dim(X)$ for all objects X of \mathcal{C} , where $\nu_N(X)$ is the N -th Frobenius-Schur indicator of X (Siu-Hung Ng, et al, *Adv Math*, 2007).
- $N = \text{ord}(\theta)$, the order of the twist θ of $Z(\mathcal{C})$.

Determinants vs. Frobenius-Schur exponents

Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical fusion category over \mathbb{C} with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$.

- The **Frobenius-Schur exponent** N of \mathcal{C} is the least positive integer N such that $\nu_N(X) = \dim(X)$ for all objects X of \mathcal{C} , where $\nu_N(X)$ is the N -th Frobenius-Schur indicator of X (Siu-Hung Ng, et al, *Adv Math*, 2007).
- $N = \text{ord}(\theta)$, the order of the twist θ of $Z(\mathcal{C})$.
- Let ξ_N be a primitive N -th root of unity. Then $\dim(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$ for any object X of \mathcal{C} .

Determinants vs. Frobenius-Schur exponents

Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical fusion category over \mathbb{C} with isomorphism classes of simple objects $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$.

- The **Frobenius-Schur exponent** N of \mathcal{C} is the least positive integer N such that $\nu_N(X) = \dim(X)$ for all objects X of \mathcal{C} , where $\nu_N(X)$ is the N -th Frobenius-Schur indicator of X (Siu-Hung Ng, et al, *Adv Math*, 2007).
- $N = \text{ord}(\theta)$, the order of the twist θ of $Z(\mathcal{C})$.
- Let ξ_N be a primitive N -th root of unity. Then $\dim(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$ for any object X of \mathcal{C} .
- For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$, $\dim(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p}$.

Proposition

For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$,

Proposition

For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$,

$$\textcircled{1} \quad d_C \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow \dim(C) \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p}.$$

Proposition

For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$,

- 1 $d_C \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow \dim(C) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p}$.
- 2 The ideals (d_C) and $(\dim(C))$ have the same prime ideal factors in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$.

Proposition

For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$,

- 1 $d_C \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow \dim(C) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p}$.
- 2 The ideals (d_C) and $(\dim(C))$ have the same prime ideal factors in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$.

Cauchy theorem: The ideals (N) and $(\dim(C))$ have the same prime ideal factors in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$ (Bruillard, et al, J. Am. Math. Soc, 2016).

Proposition

For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$,

- 1 $d_C \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow \dim(C) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p}$.
- 2 The ideals (d_C) and $(\dim(C))$ have the same prime ideal factors in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$.

Cauchy theorem: The ideals (N) and $(\dim(C))$ have the same prime ideal factors in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$ (Bruillard, et al, J. Am. Math. Soc, 2016).

Example: For a group G with $|G| \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k} , $\exp(G)$ and $|G|$ have the same prime factors.

Determinants vs. Frobenius-Schur exponents

Proposition

For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$,

- 1 $d_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow \dim(\mathcal{C}) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p}$.
- 2 The ideals $(d_{\mathcal{C}})$ and $(\dim(\mathcal{C}))$ have the same prime ideal factors in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$.

Cauchy theorem: The ideals (N) and $(\dim(\mathcal{C}))$ have the same prime ideal factors in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$ (Bruillard, et al, J. Am. Math. Soc, 2016).

Example: For a group G with $|G| \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k} , $\exp(G)$ and $|G|$ have the same prime factors.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical fusion over \mathbb{C} . Then N and $d_{\mathcal{C}}$ have the same prime factors.

Determinants vs. Frobenius-Schur exponents

Proposition

For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$,

- 1 $d_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow \dim(\mathcal{C}) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]/\mathfrak{p}$.
- 2 The ideals $(d_{\mathcal{C}})$ and $(\dim(\mathcal{C}))$ have the same prime ideal factors in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$.

Cauchy theorem: The ideals (N) and $(\dim(\mathcal{C}))$ have the same prime ideal factors in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$ (Bruillard, et al, J. Am. Math. Soc, 2016).

Example: For a group G with $|G| \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k} , $\exp(G)$ and $|G|$ have the same prime factors.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical fusion over \mathbb{C} . Then N and $d_{\mathcal{C}}$ have the same prime factors.

Remark: For a group G with $|G| \neq 0$ in \mathbb{k} , $|G|$, $\exp(G)$, $d_{\text{Rep}(G)}$ and $m_{\text{Rep}(G)}$ have the same prime factors.

Non-semisimple case

Let H be a f.d. Hopf algebra of finite representation type. Let $r(H)$ be the Green ring of H with a pair of dual bases $\{\delta_{[X]}^*, [X] \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(H)\}$ with respect to the bilinear form $([X], [Y]) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_H(X, Y^*)$. Consider the Casimir operator

$$c : r(H) \rightarrow r(H), c(x) = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(H)} [X] \times \delta_{[X]}^*.$$

Then $\text{Im } c \cap \mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal of \mathbb{Z} , its non-negative generator is called the **Casimir number** of $\text{Rep}(H)$ (a generalization of semisimple case).

- $\dim_{\mathbb{k}}(H)$ divides the casimir number of $\text{Rep}(H)$;
- If Hopf algebras H_1 and H_2 are gauge equivalent, then $r(H_1) \cong r(H_2)$ and lead to the same Casimir numbers.

Theorem

Let H be a Hopf algebra of finite representation type over \mathbb{k} . The Green algebra $r(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ over a field K is Jacobson semisimple iff the Casimir number of $\text{Rep}(H)$ is not zero in K .

Remark: If $p \mid \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(H)$, then $r(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is not Jacobson semisimple for a field K of $\text{char}K = p$.

Theorem

Let H be a Hopf algebra of finite representation type over \mathbb{k} . The Green ring $r(H)$ is Jacobson semisimple iff the Casimir number of $\text{Rep}(H)$ is not zero.

Non-semisimple case

Let G be a cyclic group of order p and the field \mathbb{k} has characteristic p . Consider the Hopf algebra $\mathbb{k}G$:

- The Green ring $r(\mathbb{k}G) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$;
- The Casimir number of $r(\mathbb{k}G)$ is $2p^2$;
- The Green ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$ is semisimple;
- The Green algebra $K[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$ is semisimple iff $\text{char}K \neq 2, p$;
- If $\text{char}K = p$, then the Jacobson radical of $K[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$ is generated by $\overline{X^2 - 4}$;
- If $\text{char}K = 2$, then the Jacobson radical of $K[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$ is generated by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{p-1-i}{i} (-1)^i \overline{X^{\frac{p+1}{2}-i}}.$$

Thank you!