# Quantum commutative Galois objects and their applications in Brauer groups

Haixing Zhu

Nanjing Forestry University August. 25, 2021

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

1/23

- Motivation
- The construction of braided Hopf subalgebras
- The exact sequence for Brauer groups
- quantum commutative Galois objects and antoequivalenes

 In 1998, Van Oystaeyen and Zhang defined the Brauer group Br(𝒞) of a braided monoidal category 𝒞.  In 1998, Van Oystaeyen and Zhang defined the Brauer group Br(𝒞) of a braided monoidal category 𝒞.

3/23

• Isomorphism classes of Azumaya algebras in  ${\mathscr C}$ 

- In 1998, Van Oystaeyen and Zhang defined the Brauer group Br(𝒞) of a braided monoidal category 𝒞.
- $\bullet$  Isomorphism classes of Azumaya algebras in  ${\mathscr C}$
- If  $\mathscr{C} \cong \mathscr{D}$ , then  $Br(\mathscr{C}) \simeq Br(\mathscr{D})$ .

- In 1998, Van Oystaeyen and Zhang defined the Brauer group Br(C) of a braided monoidal category C.
- ullet Isomorphism classes of Azumaya algebras in  ${\mathscr C}$
- If  $\mathscr{C} \cong \mathscr{D}$ , then  $Br(\mathscr{C}) \simeq Br(\mathscr{D})$ .
- Let (H, R) be a quasitriangular Hopf algebra. Consider  $_{H}\mathcal{M}$

# Motivation

• An algebra in  $_{H}\mathcal{M}$  is called Azumaya if

$$F: A \sharp A^{op} \longrightarrow End(A), \quad F(a \sharp \overline{b})(c) = a(R^2 \cdot c)(R^1 \cdot b)$$
  
$$G: A^{op} \sharp A \longrightarrow End^{op}(A), \quad G(\overline{b} \sharp a)(c) = (R^2 \cdot c)(R^1 \cdot b)a$$

are isomorphic.

# Motivation

• An algebra in  $_{H}\mathcal{M}$  is called Azumaya if

 $F: A \sharp A^{op} \longrightarrow End(A), \quad F(a \sharp \overline{b})(c) = a(R^2 \cdot c)(R^1 \cdot b)$  $G: A^{op} \sharp A \longrightarrow End^{op}(A), \quad G(\overline{b} \sharp a)(c) = (R^2 \cdot c)(R^1 \cdot b)a$ 

are isomorphic.

• Two Azumaya algebras A and B are Brauer equivalent if there exist finite dimensional H-modules M and N such that

 $A \sharp End(M) \simeq B \sharp End(N).$ 

Denote BR(HM) by the set of isomorphism classes of Azumaya algebras. Then the Brauer group Br(HM) of HM

 $BM(H,R) =: BR(_H \mathscr{M}) / \sim .$ 

• (Zhang, 2004) There exists an exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow Br(k) \longrightarrow BM(H,R) \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}(H^R)$$

Note that we have

$${}_{H}\mathscr{M} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{Z}_{I}({}_{H}\mathscr{M}) \cong_{H}^{H} \mathscr{Y} \mathscr{D} \cong^{H_{R}} ({}_{H}\mathscr{M}).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

5/23

• (Zhang, 2004) There exists an exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow Br(k) \longrightarrow BM(H,R) \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}(H^R)$$

Note that we have

$${}_{H}\mathscr{M} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{Z}_{I}({}_{H}\mathscr{M}) \cong_{H}^{H} \mathscr{Y} \mathscr{D} \cong^{H_{R}} ({}_{H}\mathscr{M}).$$

• (Dello, Zhang, and Zhu) Let (H, R) be quasi-triangular. Then

$$Gal^{qc}(H_R) \simeq Aut^{br}(^H_H \mathscr{D} \mathscr{D}, {}_H \mathscr{M})$$

5/23

• (Zhang, 2004) There exists an exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow Br(k) \longrightarrow BM(H,R) \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}(H^R)$$

Note that we have

$${}_{H}\mathscr{M} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{Z}_{l}({}_{H}\mathscr{M}) \cong^{H}_{H} \mathscr{Y} \mathscr{D} \cong^{H_{R}} ({}_{H}\mathscr{M}).$$

• (Dello, Zhang, and Zhu) Let (H, R) be quasi-triangular. Then  $Gal^{qc}(H_R) \simeq Aut^{br}({}^{H}_{H}\mathscr{D}, {}_{H}\mathscr{M})$ 

• If k is algebraically closed, then

$$BM(H,R) \simeq Aut^{br}({}^{H}_{H} \mathscr{YD}, {}_{H}\mathscr{M}) \simeq Gal^{qc}(H_{R}).$$

• Proposal: Compute the Brauer group of  $B \bowtie H$  by

 $1 \longrightarrow Br(k) \longrightarrow BM(B \bowtie H, R) \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}((B \bowtie H)_R)$ 

• Proposal: Compute the Brauer group of  $B \bowtie H$  by

$$1 \longrightarrow Br(k) \longrightarrow BM(B \bowtie H, R) \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}((B \bowtie H)_R)$$

• The key point is to characterize  $Gal^{qc}((B \bowtie H)_R)!$ 

• Proposal: Compute the Brauer group of  $B \bowtie H$  by

$$1 \longrightarrow Br(k) \longrightarrow BM(B \bowtie H, R) \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}((B \bowtie H)_R)$$

- The key point is to characterize Gal<sup>qc</sup>((B ⋈ H)<sub>R</sub>)!
- Main steps:
  - 1. To find Hopf subalgebra  $B_Q$  of  $(B \bowtie H)_R$ ;
  - 2. To construct  $\Omega$  :  $Gal^{qc}((B \bowtie H)_R) \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}(B_Q);$
  - 3. To compute/ characterize  $Gal^{qc}(B_Q)$ .

• E(2) is generated by c, x such that

$$c^{2} = 1, \ x_{i}^{2} = 0, \ cx_{i} + x_{i}c = 0, \ x_{1}x_{2} + x_{2}x_{1} = 0, \ i = 1, 2$$

The quasi-triangular structure

$$R = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1 - c \otimes c) + \frac{1}{2}(x_1 \otimes cx_2 + x_1 \otimes x_2 + cx_1 \otimes cx_2 - cx_1 \otimes x_2).$$

By Majid's transmutation, the braided Hopf algebra  $E(2)_R$  with the coalgebra structure

۲

$$\begin{split} \overline{\Delta}(1) &= 1 \otimes 1, \ \overline{\Delta}(cx_1) = 1 \otimes cx_1 + cx_1 \otimes 1, \\ \overline{\Delta}(cx_2) &= 1 \otimes cx_2 + cx_2 \otimes 1, \\ \overline{\Delta}(x_1x_2) &= 1 \otimes x_1x_2 + x_1x_2 \otimes 1 - cx_1 \otimes cx_2 + cx_2 \otimes cx_1, \\ \overline{\Delta}(c) &= c \otimes c + 2x_2 \otimes x_1, \\ \overline{\Delta}(x_1) &= c \otimes x_1 + c - 2x_1 \otimes cx_1x_2, \\ \overline{\Delta}(x_2) &= c \otimes x_2 + c - 2cx_1x_2 \otimes x_1, \\ \overline{\Delta}(cx_1x_2) &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(1 \otimes C \otimes 1)(\overline{\Delta}(c) \otimes \overline{\Delta}(cx_1x_2)). \end{split}$$

Then  $B_Q = k\{1, cx_1, cx_2, x_1x_2\}$  is a braided Hopf subalgebra

$$\overline{S}(1) = 1, \ \overline{S}(cx_1) = -cx_1, \ \overline{S}(cx_2) = -cx_2, \ \overline{S}(x_1x_2) = x_1x_2.$$

However,  $k\{1, c\}$  is NOT a braided Hopf subalgebra!!

•  $E(2) \simeq B \bowtie k[Z_2]$ . Then

$$B_Q \hookrightarrow E(2)_R \simeq (B \bowtie k[Z_2])_R$$

• 
$$E(2) \simeq B \bowtie k[Z_2]$$
. Then  
 $B_Q \hookrightarrow E(2)_R \simeq (B \bowtie k[Z_2])_R$ 

 Problem 1: Consider Radford's biproduct B ⋈ H, is there such a braid Hopf subalgebra that comes from B?

• 
$$E(2) \simeq B \bowtie k[Z_2]$$
. Then

$$B_Q \hookrightarrow E(2)_R \simeq (B \bowtie k[Z_2])_R$$

- Problem 1: Consider Radford's biproduct B ⋈ H, is there such a braid Hopf subalgebra that comes from B?
- Problem 2: What is the role of this braid Hopf subalgebra in the computation of Brauer groups?

• (Wang, 1999) Let  $(B \bowtie H, R)$  be quasi-triangular. Then (H, r) is quasi-triangular, where  $r = (p \otimes 1 \otimes p \otimes 1)(R)$ ; B has a quasi-triangular structure Q in (H, M, r), where

$$Q = (1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon)(R) \in B \otimes B.$$

• (Wang, 1999) Let  $(B \bowtie H, R)$  be quasi-triangular. Then (H, r) is quasi-triangular, where  $r = (p \otimes 1 \otimes p \otimes 1)(R)$ ; B has a quasi-triangular structure Q in  $(H\mathcal{M}, r)$ , where

$$Q = (1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon)(R) \in B \otimes B.$$

• Since  ${}_{B(\mathcal{M})} \cong {}_{B \bowtie \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}$ , then as braided tensor categories

$$(B(H\mathcal{M}), Q) \cong (B \bowtie H\mathcal{M}, R).$$

• (Wang, 1999) Let  $(B \bowtie H, R)$  be quasi-triangular. Then (H, r) is quasi-triangular, where  $r = (p \otimes 1 \otimes p \otimes 1)(R)$ ; B has a quasi-triangular structure Q in  $(H\mathcal{M}, r)$ , where

$$Q = (1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon)(R) \in B \otimes B.$$

• Since  $_{B}(_{H}\mathcal{M}) \cong _{B \bowtie H}\mathcal{M}$ , then as braided tensor categories

$$(B(H\mathcal{M}), Q) \cong (B \bowtie H\mathcal{M}, R).$$

• Question 1: Can (B, Q) induce a Hopf algebra  $B_Q$  in  $_B(H\mathcal{M})$ ?

• Let (H, R) be triangular.

#### Definition 1

A braided Hopf algebra B in  $_H \mathcal{M}$  is quasi-triangular if there exists an invertible element  $Q := Q^1 \otimes Q^2$  in  $B \otimes B$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \otimes 1)Q &= r^1 \cdot Q^1 \otimes T^1 \otimes Q^2(r^2 \cdot T^2) \\ (1 \otimes \Delta)Q &= Q^1 T^1 \otimes T^2 \otimes Q^2 \\ r^1 \cdot (Q^1 b_{(1)}) \otimes Q^2(r^2 \cdot b_{(2)}) &= (r^1 \cdot b_{(2)})Q^1 \otimes b_{(1)}(r^2 \cdot Q^2) \\ h_2 \cdot Q^1 \otimes h_1 \cdot Q^2 &= Q^1 \otimes Q^2 \varepsilon(h), \end{aligned}$$

where Q = T, etc.

•  $B \bowtie H$  has the quasi-triangular structure **R**, where

$$\mathbf{R} := r^1 \cdot Q^1 \otimes t^1 \otimes Q^2 \otimes r^2 t^2.$$

• The braided version of Majid's Transmutation.

### Proposition 2

Let (B, Q) be a quasi-triangular braided Hopf algebra. Then there is a Hopf algebra  $B_Q$  in  $_B(_H \mathcal{M})$ , where  $B_Q = B$  as a linear space and as an object in  $_B(_H \mathcal{M})$  by the braided adjoint action

$$a \triangleright b = a_{(1)}(r^2 \cdot b)S(r^1 \cdot a_{(2)}), \text{ for all } a, b \in B.$$

The algebra structure and counit in  $B_Q$  coincide with those of B. The comultiplication and antipode are as follow

$$\overline{\Delta}(b) = b_{(1)}S(Q^2) \otimes Q^1 \blacktriangleright b_{(2)}, \ \overline{S}(b) = Q^2S(Q^1 \blacktriangleright b)$$

where  $\Delta(b) = b_{(1)} \otimes b_{(2)}$  in B.

• In the case of 
$$E(2)$$
,  $B_Q = k\{1, cx_1, cx_2, x_1x_2\}$ 

$$\begin{split} \overline{\Delta}(1) &= 1 \otimes 1, \ \overline{\Delta}(cx_1) = 1 \otimes cx_1 + cx_1 \otimes 1, \\ \overline{\Delta}(cx_2) &= 1 \otimes cx_2 + cx_2 \otimes 1, \\ \overline{\Delta}(x_1x_2) &= 1 \otimes x_1x_2 + x_1x_2 \otimes 1 - cx_1 \otimes cx_2 + cx_2 \otimes cx_1. \\ \overline{S}(1) &= 1, \ \overline{S}(cx_1) = -cx_1, \ \overline{S}(cx_2) = -cx_2, \ \overline{S}(x_1x_2) = x_1x_2. \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

13/23

Where

$$Q=1\otimes 1+cx_1\otimes cx_2.$$

• In the case of 
$$E(2)$$
,  $B_Q = k\{1, cx_1, cx_2, x_1x_2\}$ 

$$\begin{split} \overline{\Delta}(1) &= 1 \otimes 1, \ \overline{\Delta}(cx_1) = 1 \otimes cx_1 + cx_1 \otimes 1, \\ \overline{\Delta}(cx_2) &= 1 \otimes cx_2 + cx_2 \otimes 1, \\ \overline{\Delta}(x_1x_2) &= 1 \otimes x_1x_2 + x_1x_2 \otimes 1 - cx_1 \otimes cx_2 + cx_2 \otimes cx_1. \\ \overline{S}(1) &= 1, \ \overline{S}(cx_1) = -cx_1, \ \overline{S}(cx_2) = -cx_2, \ \overline{S}(x_1x_2) = x_1x_2. \end{split}$$

Where

$$Q=1\otimes 1+cx_1\otimes cx_2.$$

 B<sub>Q</sub> is commutative and cocommutative, and isomorphic to the braided tensor product of B<sub>1</sub> = k{1, cx<sub>1</sub>} and B<sub>2</sub> = k{1, cx<sub>2</sub>}.

• In general, by braided Majid's Transmutation, we also have the Hopf algebra  $B_Q$  in  $_B(_H\mathcal{M})$  and so in  $_{B\bowtie H}\mathcal{M}$ .

- In general, by braided Majid's Transmutation, we also have the Hopf algebra  $B_Q$  in  $_B(_H\mathcal{M})$  and so in  $_{B\bowtie H}\mathcal{M}$ .
- Other examples, and the notion of quasi-triangular ... in a braided tensor category.

- In general, by braided Majid's Transmutation, we also have the Hopf algebra  $B_Q$  in  $_B(_H\mathcal{M})$  and so in  $_{B\bowtie H}\mathcal{M}$ .
- Other examples, and the notion of quasi-triangular ... in a braided tensor category.
- Remarks on Majid's quasi-triangular braided Hopf algebras.

### Definition 3

Let A be a right  $B_Q$ -comodule algebra. A is called a right braided  $B_Q$ -Galois object if A is faithfully flat and the morphism

$$\beta: A \otimes A \longrightarrow A \otimes B_Q, \ a \otimes b \longmapsto ab_{[0]} \otimes b_{[1]}$$

is an isomorphism.

• By Schauenburg's result, a bi-Galois object A induces autoequivalence:

$$A\Box - : {}^{B_Q}({}_B(H\mathcal{M})) \longrightarrow {}^{B_Q}({}_B(H\mathcal{M}))$$

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

15/23

### Definition 3

Let A be a right  $B_Q$ -comodule algebra. A is called a right braided  $B_Q$ -Galois object if A is faithfully flat and the morphism

$$eta: A\otimes A \longrightarrow A\otimes B_Q, \ a\otimes b\longmapsto ab_{[0]}\otimes b_{[1]}$$

is an isomorphism.

• By Schauenburg's result, a bi-Galois object A induces autoequivalence:

$$A\square - : {}^{B_Q}(B(H\mathcal{M})) \longrightarrow {}^{B_Q}(B(H\mathcal{M}))$$

• Question 2:

$$Gal^{qc}((B \bowtie H)_R \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}(B_Q)?$$

15 / 23

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

### The exact sequence for Brauer groups

We have

### Definition 4

A braided bi-Galois object A is called quantum commutative if

$$\begin{array}{lll} ab & = & (a_{(-1)} \blacktriangleright (r^2 \cdot b))(r^1 \cdot a_{(0)}) \\ a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]} & = & Q^2 \blacktriangleright [p^2 \cdot (T^1 \blacktriangleright a_{[0]})] \otimes p^1 \cdot (Q^1 a_{[-1]} T^2), \end{array}$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ .

#### Lemma 5

Let (B, Q) be a quasitriangular braided Hopf algebra in  $_H \mathcal{M}$ . Then there is a group homomorphism

 $\Omega: \operatorname{Gal}^{\operatorname{qc}}((B \bowtie H)_{\mathbf{R}}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}^{\operatorname{qc}}(B_Q), \ A \longmapsto A'.$ 

### The exact sequence for Brauer group

• By Zhang's exact sequence,

$$Br(_B(_H\mathcal{M}),Q)\simeq Br(_{B\bowtie H}\mathcal{M},\mathbf{R})\longrightarrow Gal^{qc}((B\bowtie H)_{\mathbf{R}}).$$

Thus we obtain the following proposition:

### Proposition 6

Let (B, Q) be a quasitriangular braided Hopf algebra. Then there is a group homomorphism

$$\pi: Br(_B(_H\mathcal{M}), Q) \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}(B_Q).$$

ヘロト 人間ト 人間ト 人間ト

### The exact sequence for Brauer group

• By Zhang's exact sequence,

$$Br(_B(_H\mathcal{M}),Q)\simeq Br(_{B\bowtie H}\mathcal{M},\mathbf{R})\longrightarrow Gal^{qc}((B\bowtie H)_{\mathbf{R}}).$$

Thus we obtain the following proposition:

### Proposition 6

Let (B, Q) be a quasitriangular braided Hopf algebra. Then there is a group homomorphism

$$\pi: Br(_B(_H\mathcal{M}), Q) \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}(B_Q).$$

• Question 3:

#### $Ker\pi?$

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)

### The exact sequence for Brauer groups

• About  $Ker\pi$ 

### Proposition 7

Let (H, R) be triangular, and (B, Q) a quasitriangular braided Hopf algebra. Then there are the following group homomorphisms

 $Br(_{H}\mathcal{M}, R) \hookrightarrow Br(_{B}(_{H}\mathcal{M}), Q) \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}(B_{Q}).$ 

### The exact sequence for Brauer groups

• About  $Ker\pi$ 

### Proposition 7

Let (H, R) be triangular, and (B, Q) a quasitriangular braided Hopf algebra. Then there are the following group homomorphisms

$$Br(_{H}\mathcal{M}, R) \hookrightarrow Br(_{B}(_{H}\mathcal{M}), Q) \longrightarrow Gal^{qc}(B_{Q}).$$

 This is the result of Cuadra and Femić, if B is comm. and cocommu, and Q = 1 ⊗ 1. However, their method can not be valid in the case (E(2), R) since

$$Q = 1 \otimes 1 + cx_1 \otimes cx_2.$$

٩

$${}^{B_Q}({}_B({}_H\mathscr{M})) \hookrightarrow {}^{(B \bowtie H)_R}({}_B({}_H\mathscr{M})) \cong {}^{(B \bowtie H)_R}({}_{B \bowtie H}\mathscr{M}) \cong {}^{B \bowtie H}_{B \bowtie H}\mathscr{YD}$$

٢

$${}^{B_Q}({}_B({}_H\mathscr{M})) \hookrightarrow {}^{(B\bowtie H)_R}({}_B({}_H\mathscr{M})) \cong {}^{(B\bowtie H)_R}({}_{B\bowtie H}\mathscr{M}) \cong {}^{B\bowtie H}_{B\bowtie H}\mathscr{Y}\mathscr{D}$$

We have

#### Definition 8

Let B be a braided Hopf algebra in  $_{H}\mathcal{M}$ . A left B-module  $(M, \cdot, \triangleright)$  in  $_{H}\mathcal{M}$  is called a categorical Yetter-Drinfeld module if  $(M, \rho^{L})$  is a left B-comodule satisfying the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{(1)}(r^2 \cdot m_{(-1)}) \otimes (r^1 \cdot a_{(2)}) \blacktriangleright m_{(0)} \\ &= (a_{(1)} \blacktriangleright (r^2 \cdot m))_{(-1)}(p^2 r^1 \cdot a_{(2)}) \otimes p^1 \cdot (a_{(1)} \blacktriangleright (r^2 \cdot m)_{(0)}), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in B$  and  $m \in M$ , where  $\rho^{L}(m) = m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}$ .

Bespalov's result:

### Lemma 9

(Bespalov, 97) Let B be a braided Hopf algebra with bijective antipode in  $_{H}\mathcal{M}$ . Then  $_{B}^{B}\mathcal{YD}(_{H}\mathcal{M})$  has a braiding  $C_{V,W}$ :

$$C_{V,W}(v\otimes w)=v_{(-1)}\blacktriangleright (r^2\cdot w)\otimes r^1\cdot v_{(0)},$$

where  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ .

• Bespalov's result:

#### Lemma 9

(Bespalov, 97) Let B be a braided Hopf algebra with bijective antipode in  $_{H}\mathcal{M}$ . Then  $_{B}^{B}\mathcal{YD}(_{H}\mathcal{M})$  has a braiding  $C_{V,W}$ :

$$C_{V,W}(v\otimes w)=v_{(-1)}\blacktriangleright (r^2\cdot w)\otimes r^1\cdot v_{(0)},$$

where  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ .

 The above quantum-commutative compatible conditions is just braided-commutative.

• We prove that

### Theorem 10

Let (B, Q) be a quasi-triangular braided Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. Then there exist the following braided tensor equivalences

$$\mathscr{Z}_{L}(B(H\mathscr{M}))\cong {}^{B}_{B}\mathscr{G}\mathscr{D}(H\mathscr{M})\cong {}^{B_{Q}}(B(H\mathscr{M}))\cong {}^{B_{Q}}(B\bowtie H\mathscr{M}),$$

where  $\mathscr{Z}_{L}(B(H\mathcal{M}))$  is the full subcategory of the Drinfeld center  $\mathscr{Z}_{l}(B(H\mathcal{M}))$  with the following condition on the half-braiding  $\nu_{U,-}$ : for any object V in  $H\mathcal{M}$  with trivial action (through counit),  $\nu_{U,V}$  coincides with braiding  $C_{U,V}$  in  $H\mathcal{M}$ .

• As a braided tensor cateogry

$$({}_{B}({}_{H}\mathscr{M}), Q) \hookrightarrow ({}_{B}^{B}\mathscr{YD}({}_{H}\mathscr{M}), C).$$

Denote by  $Aut^{br}({}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathscr{D}({}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathscr{M}), {}_{\mathcal{B}}({}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathscr{M}))$  the group of isomorphism classes of braided autoequivalences of  ${}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathscr{D}({}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathscr{M})$  trivializable on  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}({}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathscr{M})$ .

Theorem 11

Let (B, Q) be a f. d. quasitriangular braided Hopf algebra. Then

 $\Psi: \operatorname{Gal}^{\operatorname{qc}}(B_Q) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}^{\operatorname{br}}({}^B_{\mathcal{B}} \mathscr{YD}({}_H \mathscr{M}), {}_B({}_H \mathscr{M})), \ A \longmapsto A \Box -$ 

Thank you!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □