

Calabi-Yau property under Morita-Takeuchi equivalence

Xiaolan YU

Hangzhou Normal University

August, 2021

Outline

- Motivation
- Preliminaries
- Main results

Motivation

Question 1

- *Find homological invariants under monoidally Morita-Tekeuchi equivalence.*

Motivation

Question 1

- *Find homological invariants under monoidally Morita-Tekeuchi equivalence.*
- *Under which conditions, is (twisted) Calabi-Yau property preserved under monoidally Morita-Tekeuchi equivalence? That is, let H and L be two Hopf algebras such that their comodule categories are monoidally equivalent. If H is a (twisted) CY Hopf algebra, when will L still be a (twisted) CY Hopf algebra?*

Twisted Calabi-Yau algebras

Throughout, \mathbb{k} is a fixed field.

Twisted Calabi-Yau algebras

Throughout, \mathbb{k} is a fixed field.

- An algebra A is called a **twisted Calabi-Yau (CY) algebra of dimension d** if
 - A is **homologically smooth**, that is, A has a bounded resolution of finitely generated projective A^e -modules;
 - There is an automorphism μ of A such that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A^e}^i(A, A^e) \cong \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq d \\ A^\mu, & i = d \end{cases}$$

as A^e -modules.

Twisted Calabi-Yau algebras

Throughout, \mathbb{k} is a fixed field.

- An algebra A is called a **twisted Calabi-Yau (CY) algebra of dimension d** if
 - A is **homologically smooth**, that is, A has a bounded resolution of finitely generated projective A^e -modules;
 - There is an automorphism μ of A such that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A^e}^i(A, A^e) \cong \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq d \\ A^\mu, & i = d \end{cases}$$

as A^e -modules.

- If such an automorphism μ exists, it is unique up to an inner automorphism and is called the **Nakayama automorphism** of A .

Twisted Calabi-Yau algebras

Throughout, \mathbb{k} is a fixed field.

- An algebra A is called a **twisted Calabi-Yau (CY) algebra of dimension d** if
 - A is **homologically smooth**, that is, A has a bounded resolution of finitely generated projective A^e -modules;
 - There is an automorphism μ of A such that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A^e}^i(A, A^e) \cong \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq d \\ A^\mu, & i = d \end{cases}$$

as A^e -modules.

- If such an automorphism μ exists, it is unique up to an inner automorphism and is called the **Nakayama automorphism** of A .
- A **CY algebra** is a twisted CY algebra whose Nakayama automorphism is an inner automorphism.

- A twisted Calabi-Yau Hopf algebra is AS-Gorenstein.

- A twisted Calabi-Yau Hopf algebra is AS-Gorenstein.
- Let A be an AS-Gorenstein algebra of injective dimension d . Then $\text{Ext}_A^d({}_A\mathbb{k}, {}_A A)$ is a 1-dimensional right A -module. Any non-zero element in $\text{Ext}_A^d({}_A\mathbb{k}, {}_A A)$ is called a **left homological integral** of A . We write \int_A^l for $\text{Ext}_A^d({}_A\mathbb{k}, {}_A A)$. Similarly, we have the **right homological integrals**. $\text{Ext}_A^d(\mathbb{k}_A, A_A)$ is denoted by \int_A^r .

- A twisted Calabi-Yau Hopf algebra is AS-Gorenstein.
- Let A be an AS-Gorenstein algebra of injective dimension d . Then $\text{Ext}_A^d({}_A\mathbb{k}, {}_A A)$ is a 1-dimensional right A -module. Any non-zero element in $\text{Ext}_A^d({}_A\mathbb{k}, {}_A A)$ is called a **left homological integral** of A . We write \int_A^l for $\text{Ext}_A^d({}_A\mathbb{k}, {}_A A)$.
 Similarly, we have the **right homological integrals**. $\text{Ext}_A^d(\mathbb{k}_A, A_A)$ is denoted by \int_A^r .
- Let H be a twisted CY Hopf algebra with homological integral $\int_H^l = \mathbb{k}_\xi$, where $\xi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ is an algebra homomorphism. Then the Nakayama automorphism μ of H satisfies $\mu(h) = \xi(h_1)S^2(h_2)$ for any $h \in H$.
 If the right homological integral of H is $\int_H^r = \eta\mathbb{k}$, then $\eta = \xi \circ S$.

Example1

Pointed Hopf algebras of finite Cartan type [Andruskiewitsch and Schneider]

Example 1

Pointed Hopf algebras of finite Cartan type [Andruskiewitsch and Schneider]

- Γ : a free abelian group of finite rank s .

Example 1

Pointed Hopf algebras of finite Cartan type [Andruskiewitsch and Schneider]

- Γ : a free abelian group of finite rank s .
- $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, (g_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}, (\chi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}, (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \theta})$: a **generic** datum of finite Cartan type for Γ .

Example 1

Pointed Hopf algebras of finite Cartan type [Andruskiewitsch and Schneider]

- Γ : a free abelian group of finite rank s .
- $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, (g_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}, (\chi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}, (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \theta})$: a **generic** datum of finite Cartan type for Γ .
- $\lambda = (\lambda_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq \theta}$: a family of linking parameters for \mathcal{D} .

Example 1

Pointed Hopf algebras of finite Cartan type [Andruskiewitsch and Schneider]

- Γ : a free abelian group of finite rank s .
- $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, (g_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}, (\chi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}, (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \theta})$: a **generic** datum of finite Cartan type for Γ .
- $\lambda = (\lambda_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq \theta}$: a family of linking parameters for \mathcal{D} .
- $V = (x_i, g_i, \chi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}$: A Yetter-Drinfeld module over the group algebra $\mathbb{k}\Gamma$.

Example 1

- The algebra $U(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ is defined to be the quotient Hopf algebra of the smash product $\mathbb{k}\langle x_1, \dots, x_\theta \rangle \# \mathbb{k}\Gamma$ modulo the ideal generated by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ad}_c x_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(x_j) &= 0, & 1 \leq i, j \leq \theta, \quad i \neq j, \quad i \sim j, \\ x_i x_j - \chi_j(g_i) x_j x_i &= \lambda_{ij}(1 - g_i g_j), & 1 \leq i < j \leq \theta, \quad i \not\sim j, \end{aligned}$$

where ad_c is the braided adjoint representation.

Example 1

- The algebra $U(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ is defined to be the quotient Hopf algebra of the smash product $\mathbb{k}\langle x_1, \dots, x_\theta \rangle \# \mathbb{k}\Gamma$ modulo the ideal generated by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}(\operatorname{ad}_c x_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(x_j) &= 0, & 1 \leq i, j \leq \theta, \quad i \neq j, \quad i \sim j, \\ x_i x_j - \chi_j(g_i) x_j x_i &= \lambda_{ij}(1 - g_i g_j), & 1 \leq i < j \leq \theta, \quad i \not\sim j,\end{aligned}$$

where ad_c is the braided adjoint representation.

- The pointed Hopf algebras $U(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ are generalizations of the quantized enveloping algebras $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, where \mathfrak{g} are finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebras.

Example 1

- (Masuoka) $U(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ is a cocycle deformation of $U(\mathcal{D}, 0)$.

Example 1

- (Masuoka) $U(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ is a cocycle deformation of $U(\mathcal{D}, 0)$.
- Both $U(\mathcal{D}, 0)$ and $U(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ are twisted CY Hopf algebras.

Example2

Quantum automorphism groups of non-degenerate bilinear forms
[Dubois-Violette and Launer]

Example2

Quantum automorphism groups of non-degenerate bilinear forms
[Dubois-Violette and Launer]

- $E \in GL_m(\mathbb{k})$ with $m \geq 2$.

Example 2

Quantum automorphism groups of non-degenerate bilinear forms
[Dubois-Violette and Launer]

- $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{k})$ with $m \geq 2$.
- $\mathcal{B}(E)$ is the algebra presented by generators $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ and relations

$$E^{-1} u^t E u = I_m = u E^{-1} u^t E,$$

where u is the matrix $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$, u^t is the transpose of u and I_m is the identity matrix.

Example 2

- If $\text{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = \text{tr}(F^{-1}F^t)$, then the comodule categories of $\mathcal{B}(E)$ and $\mathcal{B}(F)$ are equivalent.

Example 2

- If $\text{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = \text{tr}(F^{-1}F^t)$, then the comodule categories of $\mathcal{B}(E)$ and $\mathcal{B}(F)$ are equivalent.
- (Bichon; Walton, X. Wang) The algebras $\mathcal{B}(E)$ are twisted CY.

- (Wang-Yu-Zhang) Let H be a twisted CY Hopf algebra of dimension d , and L a Hopf algebra monoidally Morita-Takeuchi equivalent to H . If one of the following conditions holds, then L is also twisted CY of dimension d .
 - (i) H admits a finitely generated relative projective Yetter-Drinfeld module resolution for the trivial Yetter-Drinfeld module \mathbb{k} and L has finite global dimension.
 - (ii) H admits a bounded finitely generated relative projective Yetter-Drinfeld module resolution for the trivial Yetter-Drinfeld module \mathbb{k} .
 - (iii) H is Noetherian and L has finite global dimension.
 - (iv) L is Noetherian and has finite global dimension.

Question 2 (Bichon)

If H and L are two Hopf algebras having equivalent tensor categories of comodules, how are their Hochschild cohomologies related? In particular do H and L have the same cohomological dimension?

Question 2 (Bichon)

If H and L are two Hopf algebras having equivalent tensor categories of comodules, how are their Hochschild cohomologies related? In particular do H and L have the same cohomological dimension?

- The cohomological dimension of an algebra A is defined to be $\text{cd}(A) = \sup\{n : H^n(A, M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } A\text{-bimodule } M\}$

Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology

- Let H be a Hopf algebra and let V be a Yetter-Drinfeld module over H .

Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology

- Let H be a Hopf algebra and let V be a Yetter-Drinfeld module over H .
- $H_{GS}^*(H, V)$: the Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology of H with coefficients in V .

Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology

- Let H be a Hopf algebra and let V be a Yetter-Drinfeld module over H .
- $H_{GS}^*(H, V)$: the Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology of H with coefficients in V .
- (Taiefer) $H_{GS}^*(H, V) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{YD}_H^H}^*(\mathbb{k}, V)$

Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology

- Let H be a Hopf algebra and let V be a Yetter-Drinfeld module over H .
- $H_{GS}^*(H, V)$: the Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology of H with coefficients in V .
- (Taiefer) $H_{GS}^*(H, V) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{YD}_H^H}^*(\mathbb{k}, V)$
- $\text{cd}_{GS}(H) = \sup\{n : H_{GS}^n(H, V) \neq 0 \text{ for some } V \in \mathcal{YD}_H^H\}$.

Theorem 1 (Bichon)

Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then $\text{cd}(H) \leq \text{cd}_{GS}(H)$.

Theorem 1 (Bichon)

Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then $\text{cd}(H) \leq \text{cd}_{GS}(H)$.

Corollary 2

Let H and L be two Hopf algebras having equivalent tensor categories of comodules. Then $\max(\text{cd}(H), \text{cd}(L)) \leq \text{cd}_{GS}(H) = \text{cd}_{GS}(L)$.

Theorem 1 (Bichon)

Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then $\text{cd}(H) \leq \text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(H)$.

Corollary 2

Let H and L be two Hopf algebras having equivalent tensor categories of comodules. Then $\max(\text{cd}(H), \text{cd}(L)) \leq \text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(H) = \text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(L)$.

Theorem 3 (Bichon)

Let H and L be cosemisimple Hopf algebras having equivalent tensor categories of comodules, and if the antipodes of H and L both satisfy $S^4 = \text{id}$, then $\text{cd}(H) = \text{cd}(L)$.

- (Bichon) Let H and L be two Hopf algebras having equivalent tensor categories of comodules. Then $\text{cd}(H) = \text{cd}(L)$ in the following situations.
 - H and L have bijective antipodes and homologically smooth.
 - H and L are cosemisimple and $\text{cd}(H)$, $\text{cd}(L)$ are finite.
 - H and L are finite dimensional, and the characteristic of \mathbb{k} is zero, or satisfies $p > d^{\frac{\varphi(d)}{2}}$, where $d = \dim(A)$.
 - H and L are finite dimensional and H^* is unimodular.

A class of cosemisimple Hopf algebras

- Cosemisimple Hopf algebras whose corepresentation semi-ring is isomorphic to that of $GL(2)$ [Mrozinski]

A class of cosemisimple Hopf algebras

- Cosemisimple Hopf algebras whose corepresentation semi-ring is isomorphic to that of $GL(2)$ [Mrozinski]
- $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ and let $A, B \in GL_n(\mathbb{k})$. $\mathcal{G}(A, B)$ is the algebra presented by generators $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, d , d^{-1} and relations

$$u^t A u = A d \quad u B u^t = B d \quad d d^{-1} = 1 = d^{-1} d,$$

where u is the matrix $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$.

- The algebra $\mathcal{G}(A, B)$ admits a Hopf algebra structure, with comultiplication Δ defined by

$$\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \Delta(d^{\pm}) = d^{\pm},$$

with counit defined by

$$\varepsilon(u_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \varepsilon(d) = 1$$

and with antipode S defined by

$$S(u) = d^{-1} A^{-1} u^t A, S(d^{\pm}) = d^{\mp}.$$

- Let $A_q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, for some $q \in \mathbb{k}^\times$,
 $\mathcal{G}(A_q, A_q^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_q(2))$

- Let $A_q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, for some $q \in \mathbb{k}^\times$,
 $\mathcal{G}(A_q, A_q^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_q(2))$
- There is a surjective Hopf algebra morphism

$$\mathcal{G}(A, A^{-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A)$$

Cocategories

a **cocategory** \mathcal{C} consists of:

- A set of objects $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

Cocategories

a **cocategory** \mathcal{C} consists of:

- A set of objects $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$.
- For any $X, Y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, an algebra $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$.

Cocategories

a **cocategory** \mathcal{C} consists of:

- A set of objects $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$.
- For any $X, Y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, an algebra $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$.
- For any $X, Y, Z \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, algebra homomorphisms

$$\Delta_{XY}^Z : \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \text{ and } \varepsilon_X : \mathcal{C}(X, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$$

such that for any $X, Y, Z, T \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the following diagrams commute:

Cocategories

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{X,Y}^Z} & C(X, Z) \otimes C(Z, Y) \\
 \Delta_{X,Y}^T \downarrow & & \Delta_{X,Z}^T \otimes 1 \downarrow \\
 C(X, T) \otimes C(T, Y) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Delta_{T,Y}^Z} & C(X, T) \otimes C(T, Z) \otimes C(Z, Y) \\
 C(X, Y) & & C(X, Y) \\
 \downarrow \Delta_{X,Y}^Y & \searrow & \downarrow \Delta_{X,Y}^X \\
 C(X, Y) \otimes C(Y, Y) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \epsilon_Y} & C(X, Y) & C(X, X) \otimes C(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_X \otimes 1} & C(X, Y).
 \end{array}$$

Cocategories

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{X,Y}^Z} & \mathcal{C}(X, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \\
 \Delta_{X,Y}^T \downarrow & & \Delta_{X,Z}^T \otimes 1 \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{C}(X, T) \otimes \mathcal{C}(T, Y) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Delta_{T,Y}^Z} & \mathcal{C}(X, T) \otimes \mathcal{C}(T, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \\
 \mathcal{C}(X, Y) & & \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \\
 \downarrow \Delta_{X,Y}^Y & \searrow & \downarrow \Delta_{X,Y}^X \\
 \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Y) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varepsilon_Y} & \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \quad \mathcal{C}(X, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_X \otimes 1} \mathcal{C}(X, Y)
 \end{array}$$

- Thus a cocategory with one object is just a bialgebra.

Cocategories

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{X,Y}^Z} & \mathcal{C}(X, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \\
 \Delta_{X,Y}^T \downarrow & & \Delta_{X,Z}^T \otimes 1 \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{C}(X, T) \otimes \mathcal{C}(T, Y) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Delta_{T,Y}^Z} & \mathcal{C}(X, T) \otimes \mathcal{C}(T, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \\
 \mathcal{C}(X, Y) & & \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \\
 \downarrow \Delta_{X,Y}^Y & \searrow & \downarrow \Delta_{X,Y}^X \\
 \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Y) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varepsilon_Y} & \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \quad \mathcal{C}(X, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_X \otimes 1} \mathcal{C}(X, Y)
 \end{array}$$

- Thus a cocategory with one object is just a bialgebra.
- A cocategory \mathcal{C} is said to be **connected** if $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is a non zero algebra for any $X, Y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

Cogroupoids

A **cogroupoid** \mathcal{C} consists of a cocategory \mathcal{C} together with, for any $X, Y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, linear maps

$$S_{X,Y} : \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y, X)$$

such that for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{C}(X, X) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_X} & \mathbb{k} & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \\
 \Delta_{X,X}^Y \downarrow & & & & \uparrow m \\
 \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes S_{Y,X}} & \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, Y) & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{C}(X, X) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_X} & \mathbb{k} & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \\
 \Delta_{X,X}^Y \downarrow & & & & \uparrow m \\
 \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{S_{X,Y} \otimes 1} & \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) & &
 \end{array}$$

Cogroupoids

- If \mathcal{C} is cogroupoid, then $\mathcal{C}(X, X)$ is a Hopf algebra for any $X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

Cogroupoids

- If \mathcal{C} is cogroupoid, then $\mathcal{C}(X, X)$ is a Hopf algebra for any $X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$.
- We use Sweedler's notation for cogroupoids. Let \mathcal{C} be a cogroupoid. For $a^{X,Y} \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, we write

$$\Delta_{X,Y}^Z(a^{X,Y}) = a_1^{X,Z} \otimes a_2^{Z,Y}.$$

Cogroupoids

Theorem 4 (Schauenburg, Bichon)

Let H and L be some Hopf algebras. The following assertions are equivalent.

- (1) There exists a \mathbb{k} -linear equivalence of monoidal categories

$$\text{Comod}(H) \cong^{\otimes} \text{Comod}(L).$$

- (2) There exists a connected cogroupoid \mathcal{C} with two objects X, Y such that $H = \mathcal{C}(X, X)$ and $L = \mathcal{C}(Y, Y)$.

Cogroupoids

Theorem 5 (Bichon)

Let \mathcal{C} be a connected cogroupoid. Then for any $X, Y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ we have \mathbb{k} -linear equivalences of monoidal categories that are inverse of each other

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(X, X)) &\cong^{\otimes} \text{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Y)) \\ V &\mapsto V \square_{\mathcal{C}(X, X)} \mathcal{C}(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Y)) &\cong^{\otimes} \text{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(X, X)) \\ V &\mapsto V \square_{\mathcal{C}(Y, Y)} \mathcal{C}(Y, X). \end{aligned}$$

Cogroupoids

Theorem 6 (Bichon)

Let \mathcal{C} be a connected cogroupoid. Then for any $X, Y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{YD}_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}^{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} &\rightarrow \mathcal{YD}_{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)}^{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)} \\ V &\mapsto V \square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

is an equivalence of \mathbb{k} -linear monoidal categories.

Free YD-module

- Let H be a Hopf algebra.

Free YD-module

- Let H be a Hopf algebra.
- Let V be a right H -comodule. $V \otimes H$ is a Yetter-Drinfeld module with
 - module structure: right multiplication
 - comodule structure: $\rho(v \otimes h) = v_{(0)} \otimes h_2 \otimes S(h_1)v_{(1)}h_3$

Free YD-module

- Let H be a Hopf algebra.
- Let V be a right H -comodule. $V \otimes H$ is a Yetter-Drinfeld module with
 - module structure: right multiplication
 - comodule structure: $\rho(v \otimes h) = v_{(0)} \otimes h_2 \otimes S(h_1)v_{(1)}h_3$
- Denote this Yetter-Drinfeld module by $V \boxtimes H$

Free YD-module

- Let H be a Hopf algebra.
- Let V be a right H -comodule. $V \otimes H$ is a Yetter-Drinfeld module with
 - module structure: right multiplication
 - comodule structure: $\rho(v \otimes h) = v_{(0)} \otimes h_2 \otimes S(h_1)v_{(1)}h_3$
- Denote this Yetter-Drinfeld module by $V \boxtimes H$
- A **free Yetter-Drinfeld module** over H is a Yetter-Drinfeld module isomorphic to $V \boxtimes H$ for some right H -comodule V

Free YD-module

- Let H be a Hopf algebra.
- Let V be a right H -comodule. $V \otimes H$ is a Yetter-Drinfeld module with
 - module structure: right multiplication
 - comodule structure: $\rho(v \otimes h) = v_{(0)} \otimes h_2 \otimes S(h_1)v_{(1)}h_3$
- Denote this Yetter-Drinfeld module by $V \boxtimes H$
- A **free Yetter-Drinfeld module** over H is a Yetter-Drinfeld module isomorphic to $V \boxtimes H$ for some right H -comodule V
- A Yetter-Drinfeld module is said to be **relative projective** if it is a direct summand of a free Yetter-Drinfeld module.

Free YD-module

- Let M be a Yetter-Drinfeld module.

Free YD-module

- Let M be a Yetter-Drinfeld module.
- A **free (resp. relative projective) Yetter-Drinfeld resolution** of M is a complex of free (resp. relative projective) Yetter-Drinfeld modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow 0$$

for which there exists a Yetter-Drinfeld module morphism $P_0 \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence.

The cogroupoid \mathcal{G}

The cogroupoid \mathcal{G} is the cogroupoid defined as follows:

- $ob(\mathcal{G}) = \{(A, B) \in GL_m(\mathbb{k}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{k}), m \geq 1\}$,
- For $(A, B), (C, D) \in ob(\mathcal{G})$, the algebra $\mathcal{G}(A, B|C, D)$ is the algebra defined as follows:

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n, m \geq 2$ and let

$A, B \in GL_n(\mathbb{k})$, $C, D \in GL_m(\mathbb{k})$. The algebra $\mathcal{G}(A, B|C, D)$ is defined to be the algebra with generators u_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, d and d^{-1} , subject to the relations:

$$u^t A u = C d \quad u D u^t = B d \quad d d^{-1} = 1 = d^{-1} d,$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{G}(A, B|A, B) = \mathcal{G}(A, B)$.

- The structure maps are defined as follows: For any $A, B \in GL_n(\mathbb{k})$, $C, D \in GL_m(\mathbb{k})$ and $G \in GL_p(\mathbb{C})$, define the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{AB,CD}^{XY} : \mathcal{G}(AB, CD) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(A, B|X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{G}(X, Y|C, D) \\ u_{ij} &\longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^p u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj} \\ d^{\pm} &\longmapsto d^{\pm} \otimes d^{\pm}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{AB} : \mathcal{G}(A, B) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{k} \\ u_{ij} &\longmapsto \delta_{ij} \\ d^{\pm} &\longmapsto 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{AB,CD} : \mathcal{G}(AB, CD) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(CD, AB)^{op} \\ u &\longmapsto d^{-1} A^{-1} u^t C \\ d^{\pm} &\longmapsto d^{\mp}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $S_{AB,CD}$ is bijective.

Lemma 7 (Mrozinski)

Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. Consider the full subcogroupoid $\mathcal{G}^{\lambda, \mu}$ of \mathcal{G} with objects

$$\text{ob}(\mathcal{G}^{\lambda, \mu}) = \{(A, B) \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{G}), B^t A^t B A = \lambda I_n \text{ and } \text{tr}(AB^t) = \mu\}$$

Then $\text{ob}(\mathcal{G}^{\lambda, \mu})$ is a connected cogroupoid.

Lemma 7 (Mrozinski)

Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. Consider the full subcogroupoid $\mathcal{G}^{\lambda, \mu}$ of \mathcal{G} with objects

$$\text{ob}(\mathcal{G}^{\lambda, \mu}) = \{(A, B) \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{G}), B^t A^t B A = \lambda I_n \text{ and } \text{tr}(A B^t) = \mu\}$$

Then $\text{ob}(\mathcal{G}^{\lambda, \mu})$ is a connected cogroupoid.

Lemma 8 (Mrozinski)

Let $A, B \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{k}) (n \geq 2)$ such that $B^t A^t B A = \lambda I_n$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ and let $q \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ such that $q^2 - \sqrt{\lambda^{-1}} \text{tr}(A B^t) q + 1 = 0$.

Then there is a \mathbb{k} -linear equivalence of monoidal categories

$$\text{Comod}(\mathcal{G}(A, B)) \cong \text{Comod } \mathcal{O}(\text{GL}_q(2))$$

between the comodule categories of $\mathcal{G}(A, B)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\text{GL}_q(2))$ respectively.

- $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_q(2)) \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_q(2))[z^{\pm 1}]$

- $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_q(2)) \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_q(2))[z^{\pm 1}]$
- $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_q(2)) = \mathcal{B}(A_q)$, where $A_q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ and $A, B \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{k})$. We define an n -dimensional $\mathcal{G}(A, B)$ -comodule $V_{A,B}$ as follows.

It has a basis $v_1^{A,B}, \dots, v_n^{A,B}$. The comodule action $\rho : V_{A,B} \rightarrow V_{A,B} \otimes \mathcal{G}(A, B)$ is defined by

$$\rho(v_i^{A,B}) = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k^{A,B} \otimes u_{ki}.$$

The dual vector space $V_{A,B}^*$ is a $\mathcal{G}(A, B)$ -comodule with the comodule action $\rho : V_{A,B}^* \rightarrow V_{A,B}^* \otimes \mathcal{G}(A, B)$ is defined by

$$\rho(v_i^{A,B^*}) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j^{A,B^*} \otimes S(u_{ij}).$$

Proposition 9 (Wang-Yu)

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_q(2)) = \mathcal{G}(A_q, A_q^{-1})$ and let $V = W = V_{A_q, A_q^{-1}}$. There exists a free Yetter-Drinfeld resolution of the counit of the algebra \mathcal{A}

$$0 \rightarrow P_4 \xrightarrow{\phi_4} P_3 \xrightarrow{\phi_3} P_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{k} \rightarrow 0$$

where $P_4 = \mathbb{k} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$, $P_3 = (V^* \otimes V) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}$,
 $P_2 = (V^* \otimes V) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \oplus (W^* \otimes W) \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$, $P_1 = (W^* \otimes W) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}$
 and $P_0 = \mathbb{k} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$.

We denote the canonical basis of V and W by v_1, v_2 and w_1, w_2 respectively. The morphisms $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4$ are defined as follows.
 $\phi_4(x) = v_1^* \otimes v_1 \otimes ((-q + q^{-1}d)x) + v_1^* \otimes v_2 \otimes (-cx) + v_2^* \otimes v_1 \otimes (-bx) + v_2^* \otimes v_2 \otimes ((-q^{-1} + qa)x) + dx$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_3(v_1^* \otimes v_1 \otimes x) &= v_1^* \otimes v_1 \otimes x + v_2^* \otimes v_1 \otimes (-q^{-1}bx) + v_2^* \otimes v_2 \otimes ax + w_1^* \otimes w_1 \otimes dx \\
 \phi_3(v_1^* \otimes v_2 \otimes x) &= v_1^* \otimes v_1 \otimes bx + v_1^* \otimes v_2 \otimes (1-qa)x + w_1^* \otimes w_2 \otimes dx \\
 \phi_3(v_2^* \otimes v_1 \otimes x) &= v_2^* \otimes v_1 \otimes (1-q^{-1}d)x + v_2^* \otimes v_2 \otimes cx + w_2^* \otimes w_1 \otimes dx \\
 \phi_3(v_2^* \otimes v_2 \otimes x) &= v_2^* \otimes v_2 \otimes x + v_1^* \otimes v_1 \otimes dx + v_1^* \otimes v_2 \otimes (-qc)x + w_2^* \otimes w_2 \otimes dx \\
 \phi_3(x) &= -(w_1^* \otimes w_1 \otimes ((-q+q^{-1}d)x) + w_1^* \otimes w_2 \otimes (-cx) + w_2^* \otimes w_1 \otimes (-bx) + w_2^* \otimes w_2 \otimes ((-q^{-1}+qa)x) + dx) - v_1^* \otimes v_1 \otimes q(1-d^{-1}) - v_1^* \otimes v_1 \otimes q^{-1}(1-d^{-1}) \\
 \phi_2(v_1^* \otimes v_1 \otimes x) &= (a-1)x + w_1^* \otimes w_1 \otimes dx \\
 \phi_2(v_1^* \otimes v_2 \otimes x) &= bx + w_1^* \otimes w_2 \otimes dx \\
 \phi_2(v_2^* \otimes v_1 \otimes x) &= cx + w_2^* \otimes w_1 \otimes dx \\
 \phi_2(v_2^* \otimes v_2 \otimes x) &= (d-1)x + w_2^* \otimes w_2 \otimes dx
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_2(w_1^* \otimes w_1 \otimes x) &= \\
 &- (w_1^* \otimes w_1 \otimes x + w_2^* \otimes w_1 \otimes (-q^{-1}bx) + w_2^* \otimes w_2 \otimes ax) - (1 - d^{-1})x \\
 \phi_2(w_1^* \otimes w_2 \otimes x) &= - (w_1^* \otimes w_1 \otimes bx + w_1^* \otimes w_2 \otimes (1 - qa)x) \\
 \phi_2(w_2^* \otimes w_1 \otimes x) &= - (w_2^* \otimes w_1 \otimes (1 - q^{-1}d)x + w_2^* \otimes w_2 \otimes cx) \\
 \phi_2(w_2^* \otimes w_2 \otimes x) &= \\
 &- (w_2^* \otimes w_2 \otimes x + w_1^* \otimes w_1 \otimes dx + w_1^* \otimes w_2 \otimes (-qc)x) - (1 - d^{-1})x \\
 \phi_1(w_1^* \otimes w_1) &= -(a - 1) \quad \phi_1(w_1^* \otimes w_2) = -b \\
 \phi_1(w_2^* \otimes w_1) &= -c \quad \phi_1(w_2^* \otimes w_2) = -(d - 1) \quad \phi_1(x) = dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 10 (Wang-Yu)

The algebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_q(2))$ are twisted CY algebras with Nakayama automorphism μ defined by

$$\mu(a) = q^2 a, \mu(b) = b, \mu(c) = c, \mu(d) = q^{-2} d.$$

Theorem 11 (Wang-Yu)

Let $A, B \in GL_n(\mathbb{k})$ ($n \geq 2$) such that $B^t A^t B A = \lambda I_n$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^*$ and let $q \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ such that $q^2 - \sqrt{\lambda^{-1}} \operatorname{tr}(AB^t)q + 1 = 0$. The algebra $\mathcal{G}(A, B)$ is a twisted CY algebra.

Recall that for $u_{ij} \in \mathcal{G}(A_q, A_q^{-1} | A, B)$, $i = 1, 2, 1 \leq j \leq n$, $\Delta_{A_q A_q^{-1}, AB}^{AB}(u_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$. The right homological integral η of $\mathcal{G}(A, B)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} ((A^t)^{-1} B (A^t)^{-1} B)_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma(u_{ik}) \eta(u_{kj}),$$

where γ is an inner automorphism of $\mathcal{G}(A_q, A_q^{-1} | A, B)$.

Thank you!