

Classification of fusion categories and factorizable semisimple Hopf algebras

Zhiqiang Yu
Joint with Victor Ostrik

Yangzhou University

2021. 8. 23

- 1 Motivations
- 2 Fusion category and braided fusion category
- 3 Main theorems
- 4 Applications

Motivations

- M. Müger defined Morita equivalence of fusion categories [J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2003], and proposed the minimal modular extension conjecture [Proc. Lond. Math. Soc, 2003].
- T. Lan, L. Kong, X. Wen studied the minimal extension conjecture from the aspect of topological orders [Comm. Phys. Math, 2017].
- In [Adv. Math, 2011], P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik defined weakly group-theoretical fusion categories and solvable fusion categories, which are closely related finite groups, (quasi-)Hopf algebras.

Motivations

- M. Müger defined Morita equivalence of fusion categories [J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2003], and proposed the minimal modular extension conjecture [Proc. Lond. Math. Soc, 2003].
- T. Lan, L. Kong, X. Wen studied the minimal extension conjecture from the aspect of topological orders [Comm. Phys. Math, 2017].
- In [Adv. Math, 2011], P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik defined weakly group-theoretical fusion categories and solvable fusion categories, which are closely related finite groups, (quasi-)Hopf algebras.

Motivations

- M. Müger defined Morita equivalence of fusion categories [J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2003], and proposed the minimal modular extension conjecture [Proc. Lond. Math. Soc, 2003].
- T. Lan, L. Kong, X. Wen studied the minimal extension conjecture from the aspect of topological orders [Comm. Phys. Math, 2017].
- In [Adv. Math, 2011], P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik defined weakly group-theoretical fusion categories and solvable fusion categories, which are closely related finite groups, (quasi-)Hopf algebras.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field, $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category if:

- $\mathcal{C} \cong \text{Rep}(A)$ as a \mathbb{K} -linear abelian category, where A is a f - d unital associative \mathbb{K} -algebra, $\text{Rep}(A)$ is the category of f - d left A -modules.
- $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{I}, \otimes, \rho, \lambda, \alpha)$ is a monoidal category, and $- \otimes -$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear exact bifunctor.
- Every object X has a left dual X^* and right dual *X .
- \mathbb{I} is a simple object.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a fusion category, if it is a semisimple finite tensor category.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field, $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category if:

- $\mathcal{C} \cong \text{Rep}(A)$ as a \mathbb{K} -linear abelian category, where A is a f - d unital associative \mathbb{K} -algebra, $\text{Rep}(A)$ is the category of f - d left A -modules.
- $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{I}, \otimes, \rho, \lambda, \alpha)$ is a monoidal category, and $- \otimes -$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear exact bifunctor.
- Every object X has a left dual X^* and right dual *X .
- \mathbb{I} is a simple object.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a fusion category, if it is a semisimple finite tensor category.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field, $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category if:

- $\mathcal{C} \cong \text{Rep}(A)$ as a \mathbb{K} -linear abelian category, where A is a f - d unital associative \mathbb{K} -algebra, $\text{Rep}(A)$ is the category of f - d left A -modules.
- $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{I}, \otimes, \rho, \lambda, \alpha)$ is a monoidal category, and $- \otimes -$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear exact bifunctor.
- Every object X has a left dual X^* and right dual *X .
- \mathbb{I} is a simple object.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a fusion category, if it is a semisimple finite tensor category.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field, $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category if:

- $\mathcal{C} \cong \text{Rep}(A)$ as a \mathbb{K} -linear abelian category, where A is a f - d unital associative \mathbb{K} -algebra, $\text{Rep}(A)$ is the category of f - d left A -modules.
- $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{I}, \otimes, \rho, \lambda, \alpha)$ is a monoidal category, and $- \otimes -$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear exact bifunctor.
- Every object X has a left dual X^* and right dual *X .
- \mathbb{I} is a simple object.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a fusion category, if it is a semisimple finite tensor category.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field, $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category if:

- $\mathcal{C} \cong \text{Rep}(A)$ as a \mathbb{K} -linear abelian category, where A is a f - d unital associative \mathbb{K} -algebra, $\text{Rep}(A)$ is the category of f - d left A -modules.
- $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{I}, \otimes, \rho, \lambda, \alpha)$ is a monoidal category, and $- \otimes -$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear exact bifunctor.
- Every object X has a left dual X^* and right dual *X .
- \mathbb{I} is a simple object.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a fusion category, if it is a semisimple finite tensor category.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field, $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category if:

- $\mathcal{C} \cong \text{Rep}(A)$ as a \mathbb{K} -linear abelian category, where A is a f - d unital associative \mathbb{K} -algebra, $\text{Rep}(A)$ is the category of f - d left A -modules.
- $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{I}, \otimes, \rho, \lambda, \alpha)$ is a monoidal category, and $- \otimes -$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear exact bifunctor.
- Every object X has a left dual X^* and right dual *X .
- \mathbb{I} is a simple object.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a fusion category, if it is a semisimple finite tensor category.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field, $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category if:

- $\mathcal{C} \cong \text{Rep}(A)$ as a \mathbb{K} -linear abelian category, where A is a f - d unital associative \mathbb{K} -algebra, $\text{Rep}(A)$ is the category of f - d left A -modules.
- $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{I}, \otimes, \rho, \lambda, \alpha)$ is a monoidal category, and $- \otimes -$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear exact bifunctor.
- Every object X has a left dual X^* and right dual *X .
- \mathbb{I} is a simple object.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a fusion category, if it is a semisimple finite tensor category.

Let \mathbb{K} be a field, $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category if:

- $\mathcal{C} \cong \text{Rep}(A)$ as a \mathbb{K} -linear abelian category, where A is a f - d unital associative \mathbb{K} -algebra, $\text{Rep}(A)$ is the category of f - d left A -modules.
- $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{I}, \otimes, \rho, \lambda, \alpha)$ is a monoidal category, and $- \otimes -$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear exact bifunctor.
- Every object X has a left dual X^* and right dual *X .
- \mathbb{I} is a simple object.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is a fusion category, if it is a semisimple finite tensor category.

Examples of fusion categories

- **Vec**, the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces, with tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$ over field \mathbb{K} .
- Pointed fusion category Vec_G^ω , the category of G -graded finite-dimensional vector spaces category, where G is a finite group, $\omega \in Z^3(G, \mathbb{K}^*)$ is a normalized 3-cocycle, $\mathbb{K}^* := \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. Explicitly, $\alpha_{V_{g_1}, V_{g_2}, V_{g_3}} = \omega(g_1, g_2, g_3) :$
 $(V_{g_1} \otimes V_{g_2}) \otimes V_{g_3} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{g_1} \otimes (V_{g_2} \otimes V_{g_3}).$
- Let G be a finite group, then $\text{Rep}(G)$ is a fusion category.
- Let H be a f-d (quasi-)Hopf algebra, then $\text{Rep}(H)$ is a finite tensor category. $\text{Rep}(H)$ is a fusion category iff H is semisimple (quasi-)Hopf algebra.

Examples of fusion categories

- Vec , the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces, with tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$ over field \mathbb{K} .
- Pointed fusion category Vec_G^ω , the category of G -graded finite-dimensional vector spaces category, where G is a finite group, $\omega \in Z^3(G, \mathbb{K}^*)$ is a normalized 3-cocycle, $\mathbb{K}^* := \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. Explicitly, $\alpha_{V_{g_1}, V_{g_2}, V_{g_3}} = \omega(g_1, g_2, g_3) : (V_{g_1} \otimes V_{g_2}) \otimes V_{g_3} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{g_1} \otimes (V_{g_2} \otimes V_{g_3})$.
- Let G be a finite group, then $\text{Rep}(G)$ is a fusion category.
- Let H be a f-d (quasi-)Hopf algebra, then $\text{Rep}(H)$ is a finite tensor category. $\text{Rep}(H)$ is a fusion category iff H is semisimple (quasi-)Hopf algebra.

Examples of fusion categories

- Vec , the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces, with tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$ over field \mathbb{K} .
- Pointed fusion category Vec_G^ω , the category of G -graded finite-dimensional vector spaces category, where G is a finite group, $\omega \in Z^3(G, \mathbb{K}^*)$ is a normalized 3-cocycle, $\mathbb{K}^* := \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. Explicitly, $\alpha_{V_{g_1}, V_{g_2}, V_{g_3}} = \omega(g_1, g_2, g_3)$:

$$(V_{g_1} \otimes V_{g_2}) \otimes V_{g_3} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{g_1} \otimes (V_{g_2} \otimes V_{g_3}).$$
- Let G be a finite group, then $\text{Rep}(G)$ is a fusion category.
- Let H be a f-d (quasi-)Hopf algebra, then $\text{Rep}(H)$ is a finite tensor category. $\text{Rep}(H)$ is a fusion category iff H is semisimple (quasi-)Hopf algebra.

Examples of fusion categories

- Vec , the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces, with tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$ over field \mathbb{K} .
- Pointed fusion category Vec_G^ω , the category of G -graded finite-dimensional vector spaces category, where G is a finite group, $\omega \in Z^3(G, \mathbb{K}^*)$ is a normalized 3-cocycle, $\mathbb{K}^* := \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. Explicitly, $\alpha_{V_{g_1}, V_{g_2}, V_{g_3}} = \omega(g_1, g_2, g_3)$:

$$(V_{g_1} \otimes V_{g_2}) \otimes V_{g_3} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{g_1} \otimes (V_{g_2} \otimes V_{g_3}).$$
- Let G be a finite group, then $\text{Rep}(G)$ is a fusion category.
- Let H be a f-d (quasi-)Hopf algebra, then $\text{Rep}(H)$ is a finite tensor category. $\text{Rep}(H)$ is a fusion category iff H is semisimple (quasi-)Hopf algebra.

Frobenius-Perron dimension

Definition (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

Let $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ be the Grothendieck ring of fusion category \mathcal{C} . Then there exists a unique homomorphism $\text{FPdim}(-) : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $\text{FPdim}(X)$ is a positive algebraic integer, $\forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition

The sum $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) := \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} \text{FPdim}(X)^2$ is defined as the Frobenius-Perron dimension of \mathcal{C} .

Example

$\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(H)$, where H is a s.s (quasi-)Hopf algebra. Then $\text{FPdim}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular,

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} \text{FPdim}(X)^2 = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(H)$$

Frobenius-Perron dimension

Definition (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

Let $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ be the Grothendieck ring of fusion category \mathcal{C} . Then there exists a unique homomorphism $\text{FPdim}(-) : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $\text{FPdim}(X)$ is a positive algebraic integer, $\forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition

The sum $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) := \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} \text{FPdim}(X)^2$ is defined as the Frobenius-Perron dimension of \mathcal{C} .

Example

$\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(H)$, where H is a s.s (quasi-)Hopf algebra. Then $\text{FPdim}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular,

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} \text{FPdim}(X)^2 = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(H)$$

Frobenius-Perron dimension

Definition (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

Let $Gr(\mathcal{C})$ be the Grothendieck ring of fusion category \mathcal{C} . Then there exists a unique homomorphism $FPdim(-) : Gr(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $FPdim(X)$ is a positive algebraic integer, $\forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition

The sum $FPdim(\mathcal{C}) := \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} FPdim(X)^2$ is defined as the Frobenius-Perron dimension of \mathcal{C} .

Example

$\mathcal{C} = Rep(H)$, where H is a s.s (quasi-)Hopf algebra. Then $FPdim(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular,

$$FPdim(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} FPdim(X)^2 = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(H)$$

Frobenius-Perron dimension

Definition (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

Let $Gr(\mathcal{C})$ be the Grothendieck ring of fusion category \mathcal{C} . Then there exists a unique homomorphism $FPdim(-) : Gr(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $FPdim(X)$ is a positive algebraic integer, $\forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition

The sum $FPdim(\mathcal{C}) := \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} FPdim(X)^2$ is defined as the Frobenius-Perron dimension of \mathcal{C} .

Example

$\mathcal{C} = Rep(H)$, where H is a s.s (quasi-)Hopf algebra. Then $FPdim(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular,

$$FPdim(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} FPdim(X)^2 = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(H)$$

Integral fusion categories

Definition

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is integral if $FPdim(X) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is integral iff $\mathcal{C} \cong Rep(H)$ for some s.s quasi-Hopf algebra H .

Definition

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is weakly integral if $FPdim(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$ be square-free. Then Tambara-Yamagami fusion category $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \chi, \nu)$ is weakly integral: $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) = \{X\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_d$,

$$X \otimes X = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_d} g, \quad g \otimes X = X \otimes g = X.$$

Integral fusion categories

Definition

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is integral if $FPdim(X) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is integral iff $\mathcal{C} \cong Rep(H)$ for some s.s quasi-Hopf algebra H .

Definition

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is weakly integral if $FPdim(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$ be square-free. Then Tambara-Yamagami fusion category $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \chi, \nu)$ is weakly integral: $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) = \{X\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_d$,

$$X \otimes X = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_d} g, \quad g \otimes X = X \otimes g = X.$$

Integral fusion categories

Definition

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is integral if $\text{FPdim}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is integral iff $\mathcal{C} \cong \text{Rep}(H)$ for some s.s quasi-Hopf algebra H .

Definition

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is weakly integral if $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$ be square-free. Then Tambara-Yamagami fusion category $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \chi, \nu)$ is weakly integral: $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) = \{X\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_d$,

$$X \otimes X = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_d} g, \quad g \otimes X = X \otimes g = X.$$

Integral fusion categories

Definition

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is integral if $FPdim(X) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is integral iff $\mathcal{C} \cong Rep(H)$ for some s.s quasi-Hopf algebra H .

Definition

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is weakly integral if $FPdim(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$ be square-free. Then Tambara-Yamagami fusion category $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \chi, \nu)$ is weakly integral: $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) = \{X\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_d$,

$$X \otimes X = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_d} g, \quad g \otimes X = X \otimes g = X.$$

Nilpotent fusion categories

Definition (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. The adjoint subcategory \mathcal{C}_{ad} of \mathcal{C} is the fusion category generated by object $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ such that

- $X \subseteq Y \otimes Y^*$ for some $Y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition (S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Adv. Math, 2008)

Fusion category \mathcal{C} is nilpotent, if $\mathcal{C}^{(n)} = \text{Vec}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{(0)} := \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(1)} := \mathcal{C}_{ad}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(m)} := \mathcal{C}_{ad}^{(m-1)}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Example

Let G be a finite group, $Z(G)$ the center of G , $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{ad} = \text{Rep}(G/Z(G))$. Thus, \mathcal{C} is nilpotent iff G is nilpotent.

Nilpotent fusion categories

Definition (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. The adjoint subcategory \mathcal{C}_{ad} of \mathcal{C} is the fusion category generated by object $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ such that

- $X \subseteq Y \otimes Y^*$ for some $Y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition (S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Adv. Math, 2008)

Fusion category \mathcal{C} is nilpotent, if $\mathcal{C}^{(n)} = \text{Vec}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{(0)} := \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(1)} := \mathcal{C}_{ad}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(m)} := \mathcal{C}_{ad}^{(m-1)}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Example

Let G be a finite group, $Z(G)$ the center of G , $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{ad} = \text{Rep}(G/Z(G))$. Thus, \mathcal{C} is nilpotent iff G is nilpotent.

Nilpotent fusion categories

Definition (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. The adjoint subcategory \mathcal{C}_{ad} of \mathcal{C} is the fusion category generated by object $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ such that

- $X \subseteq Y \otimes Y^*$ for some $Y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition (S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Adv. Math, 2008)

Fusion category \mathcal{C} is nilpotent, if $\mathcal{C}^{(n)} = \text{Vec}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{(0)} := \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(1)} := \mathcal{C}_{ad}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(m)} := \mathcal{C}_{ad}^{(m-1)}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Example

Let G be a finite group, $Z(G)$ the center of G , $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{ad} = \text{Rep}(G/Z(G))$. Thus, \mathcal{C} is nilpotent iff G is nilpotent.

Nilpotent fusion categories

Definition (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. The adjoint subcategory \mathcal{C}_{ad} of \mathcal{C} is the fusion category generated by object $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ such that

- $X \subseteq Y \otimes Y^*$ for some $Y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition (S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Adv. Math, 2008)

Fusion category \mathcal{C} is nilpotent, if $\mathcal{C}^{(n)} = \text{Vec}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{(0)} := \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(1)} := \mathcal{C}_{ad}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(m)} := \mathcal{C}_{ad}^{(m-1)}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Example

Let G be a finite group, $Z(G)$ the center of G , $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{ad} = \text{Rep}(G/Z(G))$. Thus, \mathcal{C} is nilpotent iff G is nilpotent.

Nilpotent fusion categories

Definition (ENO, Ann. of. Math, 2005)

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. The adjoint subcategory \mathcal{C}_{ad} of \mathcal{C} is the fusion category generated by object $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ such that

- $X \subseteq Y \otimes Y^*$ for some $Y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition (S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Adv. Math, 2008)

Fusion category \mathcal{C} is nilpotent, if $\mathcal{C}^{(n)} = \text{Vec}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{(0)} := \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(1)} := \mathcal{C}_{ad}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(m)} := \mathcal{C}_{ad}^{(m-1)}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Example

Let G be a finite group, $Z(G)$ the center of G , $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{ad} = \text{Rep}(G/Z(G))$. Thus, \mathcal{C} is nilpotent iff G is nilpotent.

Weakly group-theoretical fusion categories

Remark (S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Adv. Math, 2008)

Indeed, \mathcal{C} is nilpotent iff there exist a series of fusion subcategories $\mathcal{C}_0 = \text{Vec} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}$ such that \mathcal{C}_j is a G_j -graded extension of \mathcal{C}_{j-1} , where G_j are finite groups.

Theorem (ENO, Adv. Math, 2011)

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category.

- \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega})$.*
- \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D})$, where \mathcal{D} is a nilpotent fusion category.*

Question (ENO, Adv. Math, 2011)

Are weakly integral fusion categories weakly group-theoretical?

Weakly group-theoretical fusion categories

Remark (S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Adv. Math, 2008)

Indeed, \mathcal{C} is nilpotent iff there exist a series of fusion subcategories $\mathcal{C}_0 = \text{Vec} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}$ such that \mathcal{C}_j is a G_j -graded extension of \mathcal{C}_{j-1} , where G_j are finite groups.

Theorem (ENO, Adv. Math, 2011)

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category.

- \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega})$.*
- \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D})$, where \mathcal{D} is a nilpotent fusion category.*

Question (ENO, Adv. Math, 2011)

Are weakly integral fusion categories weakly group-theoretical?

Weakly group-theoretical fusion categories

Remark (S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Adv. Math, 2008)

Indeed, \mathcal{C} is nilpotent iff there exist a series of fusion subcategories $\mathcal{C}_0 = \text{Vec} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}$ such that \mathcal{C}_j is a G_j -graded extension of \mathcal{C}_{j-1} , where G_j are finite groups.

Theorem (ENO, Adv. Math, 2011)

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category.

- \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\text{Vec}_G^\omega)$.
- \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D})$, where \mathcal{D} is a nilpotent fusion category.

Question (ENO, Adv. Math, 2011)

Are weakly integral fusion categories weakly group-theoretical?

Weakly group-theoretical fusion categories

Remark (S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Adv. Math, 2008)

Indeed, \mathcal{C} is nilpotent iff there exist a series of fusion subcategories $\mathcal{C}_0 = \text{Vec} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}$ such that \mathcal{C}_j is a G_j -graded extension of \mathcal{C}_{j-1} , where G_j are finite groups.

Theorem (ENO, Adv. Math, 2011)

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category.

- *\mathcal{C} is group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\text{Vec}_G^\omega)$.*
- *\mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D})$, where \mathcal{D} is a nilpotent fusion category.*

Question (ENO, Adv. Math, 2011)

Are weakly integral fusion categories weakly group-theoretical?

Weakly group-theoretical fusion categories

Remark (S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Adv. Math, 2008)

Indeed, \mathcal{C} is nilpotent iff there exist a series of fusion subcategories $\mathcal{C}_0 = \text{Vec} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}$ such that \mathcal{C}_j is a G_j -graded extension of \mathcal{C}_{j-1} , where G_j are finite groups.

Theorem (ENO, Adv. Math, 2011)

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category.

- *\mathcal{C} is group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\text{Vec}_G^\omega)$.*
- *\mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D})$, where \mathcal{D} is a nilpotent fusion category.*

Question (ENO. Adv. Math, 2011)

Are weakly integral fusion categories weakly group-theoretical?

Weakly group-theoretical fusion categories

Remark (S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Adv. Math, 2008)

Indeed, \mathcal{C} is nilpotent iff there exist a series of fusion subcategories $\mathcal{C}_0 = \text{Vec} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}$ such that \mathcal{C}_j is a G_j -graded extension of \mathcal{C}_{j-1} , where G_j are finite groups.

Theorem (ENO, Adv. Math, 2011)

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category.

- *\mathcal{C} is group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\text{Vec}_G^\omega)$.*
- *\mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical iff $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D})$, where \mathcal{D} is a nilpotent fusion category.*

Question (ENO. Adv. Math, 2011)

Are weakly integral fusion categories weakly group-theoretical?

Frobenius property

Theorem (ENO. Adv. Math, 2011)

A weakly group-theoretical fusion category \mathcal{C} admits Frobenius property. That is, $\frac{FPdim(\mathcal{C})}{FPdim(X)}$ is an algebraic integer, $\forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark

- If the previous conjecture is true, then the Kaplansky's sixth conjecture is true.*
- Weakly group-theoretical property is invariant under taking Drinfeld centers, (de-)equivariantizations, G -extensions.*

Question

Are the Drinfeld centers of weakly integral fusion categories weakly group-theoretical?

Frobenius property

Theorem (ENO. Adv. Math, 2011)

A weakly group-theoretical fusion category \mathcal{C} admits Frobenius property. That is, $\frac{FPdim(\mathcal{C})}{FPdim(X)}$ is an algebraic integer, $\forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark

- If the previous conjecture is true, then the Kaplansky's sixth conjecture is true.*
- Weakly group-theoretical property is invariant under taking Drinfeld centers, (de-)equivariantizations, G -extensions.*

Question

Are the Drinfeld centers of weakly integral fusion categories weakly group-theoretical?

Frobenius property

Theorem (ENO. Adv. Math, 2011)

A weakly group-theoretical fusion category \mathcal{C} admits Frobenius property. That is, $\frac{FPdim(\mathcal{C})}{FPdim(X)}$ is an algebraic integer, $\forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark

- *If the previous conjecture is true, then the Kaplansky's sixth conjecture is true.*
- *Weakly group-theoretical property is invariant under taking Drinfeld centers, (de-)equivariantizations, G -extensions.*

Question

Are the Drinfeld centers of weakly integral fusion categories weakly group-theoretical?

Frobenius property

Theorem (ENO. Adv. Math, 2011)

A weakly group-theoretical fusion category \mathcal{C} admits Frobenius property. That is, $\frac{FPdim(\mathcal{C})}{FPdim(X)}$ is an algebraic integer, $\forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark

- *If the previous conjecture is true, then the Kaplansky's sixth conjecture is true.*
- *Weakly group-theoretical property is invariant under taking Drinfeld centers, (de-)equivariantizations, G -extensions.*

Question

Are the Drinfeld centers of weakly integral fusion categories weakly group-theoretical?

Frobenius property

Theorem (ENO. Adv. Math, 2011)

A weakly group-theoretical fusion category \mathcal{C} admits Frobenius property. That is, $\frac{FPdim(\mathcal{C})}{FPdim(X)}$ is an algebraic integer, $\forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark

- If the previous conjecture is true, then the Kaplansky's sixth conjecture is true.*
- Weakly group-theoretical property is invariant under taking Drinfeld centers, (de-)equivariantizations, G -extensions.*

Question

Are the Drinfeld centers of weakly integral fusion categories weakly group-theoretical?

Braided fusion category

Definition

Fusion category \mathcal{C} is a braided fusion category if there exists a natural isomorphism $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying the braiding equations.

Example

- $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$, where the braiding c of $\text{Rep}(G)$ is given by the reflection τ of vector spaces. We call \mathcal{C} a Tannakian category.
- $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G, z)$, where $z \in Z(G)$ and $z^2 = 1$, the braiding of $\text{Rep}(G, z)$ is given by $\tau \circ R$ with

$$R = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 + z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z - z \otimes z).$$

Braided fusion category

Definition

Fusion category \mathcal{C} is a braided fusion category if there exists a natural isomorphism $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying the braiding equations.

Example

- $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$, where the braiding c of $\text{Rep}(G)$ is given by the reflection τ of vector spaces. We call \mathcal{C} a Tannakian category.
- $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G, z)$, where $z \in Z(G)$ and $z^2 = 1$, the braiding of $\text{Rep}(G, z)$ is given by $\tau \circ R$ with

$$R = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 + z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z - z \otimes z).$$

Braided fusion category

Definition

Fusion category \mathcal{C} is a braided fusion category if there exists a natural isomorphism $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying the braiding equations.

Example

- $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$, where the braiding c of $\text{Rep}(G)$ is given by the reflection τ of vector spaces. We call \mathcal{C} a Tannakian category.
- $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G, z)$, where $z \in Z(G)$ and $z^2 = 1$, the braiding of $\text{Rep}(G, z)$ is given by $\tau \circ R$ with

$$R = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 + z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z - z \otimes z).$$

Braided fusion category

Definition

Fusion category \mathcal{C} is a braided fusion category if there exists a natural isomorphism $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying the braiding equations.

Example

- $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$, where the braiding c of $\text{Rep}(G)$ is given by the reflection τ of vector spaces. We call \mathcal{C} a Tannakian category.
- $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G, z)$, where $z \in Z(G)$ and $z^2 = 1$, the braiding of $\text{Rep}(G, z)$ is given by $\tau \circ R$ with

$$R = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 + z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z - z \otimes z).$$

Müger centralizer

Definition (M. Müger, Adv. Math, 2000)

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be braided fusion categories. The following braided fusion category

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{C}} := \{Y \in \mathcal{C} \mid c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = id_{X \otimes Y}, \forall X \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

is called the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D} . Denote the Müger center of \mathcal{C} by $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category.

- *\mathcal{C} is non-degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Vec}$.*
- *\mathcal{C} is slightly degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec} := \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$.*
- *\mathcal{C} is symmetric if $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$.*

Müger centralizer

Definition (M. Müger, Adv. Math, 2000)

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be braided fusion categories. The following braided fusion category

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{C}} := \{Y \in \mathcal{C} \mid c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = id_{X \otimes Y}, \forall X \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

is called the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D} . Denote the Müger center of \mathcal{C} by $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category.

- *\mathcal{C} is non-degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Vec}$.*
- *\mathcal{C} is slightly degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec} := \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$.*
- *\mathcal{C} is symmetric if $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$.*

Müger centralizer

Definition (M. Müger, Adv. Math, 2000)

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be braided fusion categories. The following braided fusion category

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{C}} := \{Y \in \mathcal{C} \mid c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = id_{X \otimes Y}, \forall X \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

is called the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D} . Denote the Müger center of \mathcal{C} by $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category.

- \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Vec}$.
- \mathcal{C} is slightly degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec} := \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$.
- \mathcal{C} is symmetric if $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$.

Müger centralizer

Definition (M. Müger, Adv. Math, 2000)

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be braided fusion categories. The following braided fusion category

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{C}} := \{Y \in \mathcal{C} \mid c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = id_{X \otimes Y}, \forall X \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

is called the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D} . Denote the Müger center of \mathcal{C} by $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category.

- *\mathcal{C} is non-degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Vec}$.*
- *\mathcal{C} is slightly degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec} := \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$.*
- *\mathcal{C} is symmetric if $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$.*

Müger centralizer

Definition (M. Müger, Adv. Math, 2000)

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be braided fusion categories. The following braided fusion category

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{C}} := \{Y \in \mathcal{C} \mid c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = id_{X \otimes Y}, \forall X \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

is called the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D} . Denote the Müger center of \mathcal{C} by $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category.

- \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Vec}$.
- \mathcal{C} is slightly degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec} := \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$.
- \mathcal{C} is symmetric if $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$.

Müger centralizer

Definition (M. Müger, Adv. Math, 2000)

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be braided fusion categories. The following braided fusion category

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{C}} := \{Y \in \mathcal{C} \mid c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = id_{X \otimes Y}, \forall X \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

is called the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D} . Denote the Müger center of \mathcal{C} by $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category.

- \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Vec}$.
- \mathcal{C} is slightly degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec} := \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$.
- \mathcal{C} is symmetric if $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$.

Müger centralizer

Definition (M. Müger, Adv. Math, 2000)

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be braided fusion categories. The following braided fusion category

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{C}} := \{Y \in \mathcal{C} \mid c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = id_{X \otimes Y}, \forall X \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

is called the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D} . Denote the Müger center of \mathcal{C} by $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category.

- *\mathcal{C} is non-degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Vec}$.*
- *\mathcal{C} is slightly degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec} := \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$.*
- *\mathcal{C} is symmetric if $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$.*

Müger centralizer

Definition (M. Müger, Adv. Math, 2000)

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be braided fusion categories. The following braided fusion category

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{C}} := \{Y \in \mathcal{C} \mid c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = id_{X \otimes Y}, \forall X \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

is called the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D} . Denote the Müger center of \mathcal{C} by $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category.

- \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Vec}$.
- \mathcal{C} is slightly degenerate if $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec} := \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$.
- \mathcal{C} is symmetric if $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$.

Example

- Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category, then the Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is non-degenerate.
- Let $k = 4m + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, k + 2, q)$ with $q^2 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k+2}}$, then \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate, while \mathcal{C}_{ad} is slightly degenerate.

Theorem (P. Deligne, Moscow Math. J, 2002)

Any symmetric fusion category \mathcal{C} is braided equivalent to $\text{Rep}(G, z)$. In particular, \mathcal{C} contains a maximal Tannakian subcategory $\text{Rep}(G/\langle z \rangle)$.

Example

- Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category, then the Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is non-degenerate.
- Let $k = 4m + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, k + 2, q)$ with $q^2 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k+2}}$, then \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate, while \mathcal{C}_{ad} is slightly degenerate.

Theorem (P. Deligne, Moscow Math. J, 2002)

Any symmetric fusion category \mathcal{C} is braided equivalent to $\text{Rep}(G, z)$. In particular, \mathcal{C} contains a maximal Tannakian subcategory $\text{Rep}(G/\langle z \rangle)$.

Example

- Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category, then the Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is non-degenerate.
- Let $k = 4m + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, k + 2, q)$ with $q^2 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k+2}}$, then \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate, while \mathcal{C}_{ad} is slightly degenerate.

Theorem (P. Deligne, Moscow Math. J, 2002)

Any symmetric fusion category \mathcal{C} is braided equivalent to $\text{Rep}(G, z)$. In particular, \mathcal{C} contains a maximal Tannakian subcategory $\text{Rep}(G/\langle z \rangle)$.

Definition

Let G be a finite abelian group. $\eta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ is a quadratic form on G , if

- $\eta(g) = \eta(g^{-1}), \forall g \in G$,
- $B(g, h) := \frac{\eta(gh)}{\eta(g)\eta(h)}$ defines a symmetric bi-character.

η is non-degenerate if $B(-, -)$ is.

Theorem (A. Joyal, R. Street, Adv. Math, 1993)

There exists a bijective correspondence between metric groups and pointed non-degenerate fusion categories.

We denote the category determined by metric group (G, η) by $\mathcal{C}(G, \eta)$ below.

Definition

Let G be a finite abelian group. $\eta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ is a quadratic form on G , if

- $\eta(g) = \eta(g^{-1}), \forall g \in G$,
- $B(g, h) := \frac{\eta(gh)}{\eta(g)\eta(h)}$ defines a symmetric bi-character.

η is non-degenerate if $B(-, -)$ is.

Theorem (A. Joyal, R. Street, Adv. Math, 1993)

There exists a bijective correspondence between metric groups and pointed non-degenerate fusion categories.

We denote the category determined by metric group (G, η) by $\mathcal{C}(G, \eta)$ below.

Definition

Let G be a finite abelian group. $\eta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ is a quadratic form on G , if

- $\eta(g) = \eta(g^{-1}), \forall g \in G$,
- $B(g, h) := \frac{\eta(gh)}{\eta(g)\eta(h)}$ defines a symmetric bi-character.

η is non-degenerate if $B(-, -)$ is.

Theorem (A. Joyal, R. Street, Adv. Math, 1993)

There exists a bijective correspondence between metric groups and pointed non-degenerate fusion categories.

We denote the category determined by metric group (G, η) by $\mathcal{C}(G, \eta)$ below.

Definition

Let G be a finite abelian group. $\eta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ is a quadratic form on G , if

- $\eta(g) = \eta(g^{-1}), \forall g \in G$,
- $B(g, h) := \frac{\eta(gh)}{\eta(g)\eta(h)}$ defines a symmetric bi-character.

η is non-degenerate if $B(-, -)$ is.

Theorem (A. Joyal, R. Street, Adv. Math, 1993)

There exists a bijective correspondence between metric groups and pointed non-degenerate fusion categories.

We denote the category determined by metric group (G, η) by $\mathcal{C}(G, \eta)$ below.

Definition

Let G be a finite abelian group. $\eta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ is a quadratic form on G , if

- $\eta(g) = \eta(g^{-1}), \forall g \in G$,
- $B(g, h) := \frac{\eta(gh)}{\eta(g)\eta(h)}$ defines a symmetric bi-character.

η is non-degenerate if $B(-, -)$ is.

Theorem (A. Joyal, R. Street, Adv. Math, 1993)

There exists a bijective correspondence between metric groups and pointed non-degenerate fusion categories.

We denote the category determined by metric group (G, η) by $\mathcal{C}(G, \eta)$ below.

Definition

Let G be a finite abelian group. $\eta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ is a quadratic form on G , if

- $\eta(g) = \eta(g^{-1}), \forall g \in G$,
- $B(g, h) := \frac{\eta(gh)}{\eta(g)\eta(h)}$ defines a symmetric bi-character.

η is non-degenerate if $B(-, -)$ is.

Theorem (A. Joyal, R. Street, Adv. Math, 1993)

There exists a bijective correspondence between metric groups and pointed non-degenerate fusion categories.

We denote the category determined by metric group (G, η) by $\mathcal{C}(G, \eta)$ below.

Main theorem

Theorem (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$. If

- *\mathcal{C} contains a Tannakian category $\mathcal{E} := \text{Rep}(G)$ such that $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G \cong \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$ as braided fusion category,*
- *$(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ for all $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$,*

then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$ as braided fusion category.

Remark

- *$(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G$ is the de-equivariantization of $\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}}$ by \mathcal{E} .*
- *The condition $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ is necessary. Assume $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \chi, \mu)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, then \mathcal{C} contains two simple objects of FP-dimension \sqrt{d} , but \mathcal{C} does not contain $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$.*

Main theorem

Theorem (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$. If

- \mathcal{C} contains a Tannakian category $\mathcal{E} := \text{Rep}(G)$ such that $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G \cong \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$ as braided fusion category,*
- $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ for all $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$,*

then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$ as braided fusion category.

Remark

- $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G$ is the de-equivariantization of $\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}}$ by \mathcal{E} .*
- The condition $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ is necessary. Assume $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \chi, \mu)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, then \mathcal{C} contains two simple objects of FP-dimension \sqrt{d} , but \mathcal{C} does not contain $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$.*

Main theorem

Theorem (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$. If

- \mathcal{C} contains a Tannakian category $\mathcal{E} := \text{Rep}(G)$ such that $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G \cong \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$ as braided fusion category,
- $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ for all $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$,

then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$ as braided fusion category.

Remark

- $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G$ is the de-equivariantization of $\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}}$ by \mathcal{E} .
- The condition $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ is necessary. Assume $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \chi, \mu)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, then \mathcal{C} contains two simple objects of FP-dimension \sqrt{d} , but \mathcal{C} does not contain $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$.

Main theorem

Theorem (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$. If

- \mathcal{C} contains a Tannakian category $\mathcal{E} := \text{Rep}(G)$ such that $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G \cong \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$ as braided fusion category,
- $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ for all $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$,

then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$ as braided fusion category.

Remark

- $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G$ is the de-equivariantization of $\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}}$ by \mathcal{E} .
- The condition $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ is necessary. Assume $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \chi, \mu)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, then \mathcal{C} contains two simple objects of FP-dimension \sqrt{d} , but \mathcal{C} does not contain $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$.

Main theorem

Theorem (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$. If

- \mathcal{C} contains a Tannakian category $\mathcal{E} := \text{Rep}(G)$ such that $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G \cong \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$ as braided fusion category,
- $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ for all $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$,

then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$ as braided fusion category.

Remark

- $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G$ is the de-equivariantization of $\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}}$ by \mathcal{E} .
- The condition $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ is necessary. Assume $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \chi, \mu)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, then \mathcal{C} contains two simple objects of FP-dimension \sqrt{d} , but \mathcal{C} does not contain $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$.

Main theorem

Theorem (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$. If

- \mathcal{C} contains a Tannakian category $\mathcal{E} := \text{Rep}(G)$ such that $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G \cong \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$ as braided fusion category,
- $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ for all $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$,

then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$ as braided fusion category.

Remark

- $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G$ is the de-equivariantization of $\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}}$ by \mathcal{E} .
- The condition $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ is necessary. Assume $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \chi, \mu)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, then \mathcal{C} contains two simple objects of FP-dimension \sqrt{d} , but \mathcal{C} does not contain $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$.

Main theorem

Theorem (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$. If

- *\mathcal{C} contains a Tannakian category $\mathcal{E} := \text{Rep}(G)$ such that $(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G \cong \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$ as braided fusion category,*
- *$(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ for all $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$,*

then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$ as braided fusion category.

Remark

- *$(\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}})_G$ is the de-equivariantization of $\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{C}}$ by \mathcal{E} .*
- *The condition $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$ is necessary. Assume $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \chi, \mu)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, then \mathcal{C} contains two simple objects of FP-dimension \sqrt{d} , but \mathcal{C} does not contain $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)$.*

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let \mathcal{C} be an integral non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free.

- If \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical, there exists a braided equivalence $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$;
- If $n = p^a q^b$, where p, q are primes, then \mathcal{C} is solvable. In particular, $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- If $n = p^a$, then \mathcal{C} is nilpotent and group-theoretical.

Remark

The subcase that $n = p^a$ with p being an odd prime was proved in [J. Dong, S. Natale, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 2018].

Corollary (Z. Yu, *J. Algebra*, 2020)

Braided fusion categories of FP-dimensions $p^a q^b d$ are weakly group-theoretical, p, q are primes and $(pq, d) = 1$.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let \mathcal{C} be an integral non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free.

- If \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical, there exists a braided equivalence $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$;
- If $n = p^a q^b$, where p, q are primes, then \mathcal{C} is solvable. In particular, $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- If $n = p^a$, then \mathcal{C} is nilpotent and group-theoretical.

Remark

The subcase that $n = p^a$ with p being an odd prime was proved in [J. Dong, S. Natale, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 2018].

Corollary (Z. Yu, *J. Algebra*, 2020)

Braided fusion categories of FP-dimensions $p^a q^b d$ are weakly group-theoretical, p, q are primes and $(pq, d) = 1$.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let \mathcal{C} be an integral non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free.

- If \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical, there exists a braided equivalence $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$;
- If $n = p^a q^b$, where p, q are primes, then \mathcal{C} is solvable. In particular, $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- If $n = p^a$, then \mathcal{C} is nilpotent and group-theoretical.

Remark

The subcase that $n = p^a$ with p being an odd prime was proved in [J. Dong, S. Natale, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 2018].

Corollary (Z. Yu, *J. Algebra*, 2020)

Braided fusion categories of FP-dimensions $p^a q^b d$ are weakly group-theoretical, p, q are primes and $(pq, d) = 1$.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let \mathcal{C} be an integral non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free.

- If \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical, there exists a braided equivalence $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$;
- If $n = p^a q^b$, where p, q are primes, then \mathcal{C} is solvable. In particular, $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- If $n = p^a$, then \mathcal{C} is nilpotent and group-theoretical.

Remark

The subcase that $n = p^a$ with p being an odd prime was proved in [J. Dong, S. Natale, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 2018].

Corollary (Z. Yu, *J. Algebra*, 2020)

Braided fusion categories of FP-dimensions $p^a q^b d$ are weakly group-theoretical, p, q are primes and $(pq, d) = 1$.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let \mathcal{C} be an integral non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free.

- If \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical, there exists a braided equivalence $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$;
- If $n = p^a q^b$, where p, q are primes, then \mathcal{C} is solvable. In particular, $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- If $n = p^a$, then \mathcal{C} is nilpotent and group-theoretical.

Remark

The subcase that $n = p^a$ with p being an odd prime was proved in [J. Dong, S. Natale, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 2018].

Corollary (Z. Yu, *J. Algebra*, 2020)

Braided fusion categories of FP-dimensions $p^a q^b d$ are weakly group-theoretical, p, q are primes and $(pq, d) = 1$.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let \mathcal{C} be an integral non-degenerate fusion category with $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free.

- If \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical, there exists a braided equivalence $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$;
- If $n = p^a q^b$, where p, q are primes, then \mathcal{C} is solvable. In particular, $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- If $n = p^a$, then \mathcal{C} is nilpotent and group-theoretical.

Remark

The subcase that $n = p^a$ with p being an odd prime was proved in [J. Dong, S. Natale, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 2018].

Corollary (Z. Yu, *J. Algebra*, 2020)

Braided fusion categories of FP-dimensions $p^a q^b d$ are weakly group-theoretical, p, q are primes and $(pq, d) = 1$.

Minimal extension conjecture

Definition (M. Müger, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc, 2003)

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category. A non-degenerate fusion category \mathcal{D} is a minimal extension of \mathcal{C} if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{D}} \cong \mathcal{C}'$.

Remark

- *Indeed, \mathcal{D} is a minimal extension of \mathcal{C} if and only if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $FPdim(\mathcal{D}) = FPdim(\mathcal{C})FPdim(\mathcal{C}')$.*
- *However, a braided fusion category may not admit a minimal extension (unpublished note by V. Drinfeld).*

Theorem (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let \mathcal{C} be a slightly degenerate fusion category. If \mathcal{C} is Witt equivalent to a weakly group-theoretical fusion category, then \mathcal{C} admits a minimal extension.

Minimal extension conjecture

Definition (M. Müger, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc, 2003)

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category. A non-degenerate fusion category \mathcal{D} is a minimal extension of \mathcal{C} if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{D}} \cong \mathcal{C}'$.

Remark

- *Indeed, \mathcal{D} is a minimal extension of \mathcal{C} if and only if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $FPdim(\mathcal{D}) = FPdim(\mathcal{C})FPdim(\mathcal{C}')$.*
- *However, a braided fusion category may not admit a minimal extension (unpublished note by V. Drinfeld).*

Theorem (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let \mathcal{C} be a slightly degenerate fusion category. If \mathcal{C} is Witt equivalent to a weakly group-theoretical fusion category, then \mathcal{C} admits a minimal extension.

Minimal extension conjecture

Definition (M. Müger, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc, 2003)

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category. A non-degenerate fusion category \mathcal{D} is a minimal extension of \mathcal{C} if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{D}} \cong \mathcal{C}'$.

Remark

- *Indeed, \mathcal{D} is a minimal extension of \mathcal{C} if and only if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $FPdim(\mathcal{D}) = FPdim(\mathcal{C})FPdim(\mathcal{C}')$.*
- *However, a braided fusion category may not admit a minimal extension (unpublished note by V. Drinfeld).*

Theorem (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let \mathcal{C} be a slightly degenerate fusion category. If \mathcal{C} is Witt equivalent to a weakly group-theoretical fusion category, then \mathcal{C} admits a minimal extension.

Minimal extension conjecture

Definition (M. Müger, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc, 2003)

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category. A non-degenerate fusion category \mathcal{D} is a minimal extension of \mathcal{C} if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{D}} \cong \mathcal{C}'$.

Remark

- *Indeed, \mathcal{D} is a minimal extension of \mathcal{C} if and only if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $FPdim(\mathcal{D}) = FPdim(\mathcal{C})FPdim(\mathcal{C}')$.*
- *However, a braided fusion category may not admit a minimal extension (unpublished note by V. Drinfeld).*

Theorem (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Let \mathcal{C} be a slightly degenerate fusion category. If \mathcal{C} is Witt equivalent to a weakly group-theoretical fusion category, then \mathcal{C} admits a minimal extension.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Assume $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec}$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, where $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2 \nmid d$ and $(n, d) = 1$. If \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical, and for any object $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$, $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$, where \mathcal{A} is a minimal extension of \mathcal{C} . Then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Assume $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec}$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, where $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2 \nmid d$ and $(n, d) = 1$. If \mathcal{C} is integral and weakly group-theoretical, then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2015.0181; Z. Yu, J. Algebra, 2020)

Assume $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec}$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = p^n d$, where p is a prime, $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(n, d) = 1$. If \mathcal{C} is integral, then \mathcal{C} is nilpotent and group-theoretical.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Assume $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec}$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, where $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2 \nmid d$ and $(n, d) = 1$. If \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical, and for any object $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$, $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$, where \mathcal{A} is a minimal extension of \mathcal{C} . Then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Assume $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec}$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, where $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2 \nmid d$ and $(n, d) = 1$. If \mathcal{C} is integral and weakly group-theoretical, then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2015.0181; Z. Yu, J. Algebra, 2020)

Assume $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec}$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = p^n d$, where p is a prime, $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(n, d) = 1$. If \mathcal{C} is integral, then \mathcal{C} is nilpotent and group-theoretical.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Assume $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec}$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, where $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2 \nmid d$ and $(n, d) = 1$. If \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical, and for any object $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$, $(\text{FPdim}(X)^2, d) = 1$, where \mathcal{A} is a minimal extension of \mathcal{C} . Then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2105.0181)

Assume $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec}$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = nd$, where $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2 \nmid d$ and $(n, d) = 1$. If \mathcal{C} is integral and weakly group-theoretical, then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta) \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \eta)'_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Corollary (V. Ostrik, Z. Yu, arXiv:2015.0181; Z. Yu, J. Algebra, 2020)

Assume $\mathcal{C}' = s\text{Vec}$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = p^n d$, where p is a prime, $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(n, d) = 1$. If \mathcal{C} is integral, then \mathcal{C} is nilpotent and group-theoretical.

Factorizable Hopf algebra

Definition

Let (H, R) be a f - d quasi-triangular Hopf algebra over \mathbb{K} . H is factorizable if the Drinfeld map $\Phi : H^* \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism, where $\Phi(f) = (id \otimes f)(R_{21} R)$.

Example

- Drinfeld double $(\mathcal{D}(H), R)$ is factorizable, where H is a f - d Hopf algebra and $R = \sum_i (1 \otimes h^i) \otimes (h_i \otimes \varepsilon)$.
- $(\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d], R_m)$ is a factorizable Hopf algebra with

$$R_m := \sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq d-1} \frac{\zeta_d^{-ij}}{n} g^i \otimes g^{-mj} \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d] \otimes \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d],$$

where ζ_d is a primitive d -root of unity, $2 \nmid m$ and $(m, d) = 1$.

Factorizable Hopf algebra

Definition

Let (H, R) be a f - d quasi-triangular Hopf algebra over \mathbb{K} . H is factorizable if the Drinfeld map $\Phi : H^* \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism, where $\Phi(f) = (id \otimes f)(R_{21} R)$.

Example

- Drinfeld double $(\mathcal{D}(H), R)$ is factorizable, where H is a f - d Hopf algebra and $R = \sum_i (1 \otimes h^i) \otimes (h_i \otimes \varepsilon)$.
- $(\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d], R_m)$ is a factorizable Hopf algebra with

$$R_m := \sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \frac{\zeta_d^{-ij}}{n} g^i \otimes g^{-mj} \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d] \otimes \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d],$$

where ζ_d is a primitive d -root of unity, $2 \nmid m$ and $(m, d) = 1$.

Factorizable Hopf algebra

Definition

Let (H, R) be a f - d quasi-triangular Hopf algebra over \mathbb{K} . H is factorizable if the Drinfeld map $\Phi : H^* \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism, where $\Phi(f) = (id \otimes f)(R_{21} R)$.

Example

- Drinfeld double $(\mathcal{D}(H), R)$ is factorizable, where H is a f - d Hopf algebra and $R = \sum_i (1 \otimes h^i) \otimes (h_i \otimes \varepsilon)$.
- $(\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d], R_m)$ is a factorizable Hopf algebra with

$$R_m := \sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \frac{\zeta_d^{-ij}}{n} g^i \otimes g^{-mj} \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d] \otimes \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d],$$

where ζ_d is a primitive d -root of unity, $2 \nmid m$ and $(m, d) = 1$.

Remark (V. Farsad, A. Gainutdinov, I. Runkel, J. Algebra, 2019)

In fact, a f - d (quasi-)Hopf algebra H is factorizable if and only if $\text{Rep}(H)$ is non-degenerate.

Theorem

Let H be a factorizable semisimple Hopf algebra. Assume $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(H) = nd$ where $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free. If $\text{Rep}(H)$ is weakly group-theoretical, then $H \cong L \times (\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d], R_m)$.

Remark

For factorizable s.s quasi-Hopf algebra H with same properties, we have $H \cong A \times (\mathbb{K}^{\omega}[\mathbb{Z}_d], R)$, $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^3(\mathbb{Z}_d, \mathbb{K}^)$.*

Remark (V. Farsad, A. Gainutdinov, I. Runkel, J. Algebra, 2019)

In fact, a f-d (quasi-)Hopf algebra H is factorizable if and only if $\text{Rep}(H)$ is non-degenerate.

Theorem

Let H be a factorizable semisimple Hopf algebra. Assume $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(H) = nd$ where $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free. If $\text{Rep}(H)$ is weakly group-theoretical, then $H \cong L \times (\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d], R_m)$.

Remark

For factorizable s.s quasi-Hopf algebra H with same properties, we have $H \cong A \times (\mathbb{K}^{\omega}[\mathbb{Z}_d], R)$, $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^3(\mathbb{Z}_d, \mathbb{K}^)$.*

Remark (V. Farsad, A. Gainutdinov, I. Runkel, J. Algebra, 2019)

In fact, a f-d (quasi-)Hopf algebra H is factorizable if and only if $\text{Rep}(H)$ is non-degenerate.

Theorem

Let H be a factorizable semisimple Hopf algebra. Assume $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(H) = nd$ where $(n, d) = 1$ and d is square-free. If $\text{Rep}(H)$ is weakly group-theoretical, then $H \cong L \times (\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}_d], R_m)$.

Remark

For factorizable s.s quasi-Hopf algebra H with same properties, we have $H \cong A \times (\mathbb{K}^{\omega}[\mathbb{Z}_d], R)$, $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^3(\mathbb{Z}_d, \mathbb{K}^)$.*

Thank you for your attentions !